# **CompactLight DESIGN STUDY**

2010). Any distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author (s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI attribution to the author (s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI attribution to the author (s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI attribution to the author (s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI attribution to the author (s), title of the work, publisher, and DOI attribution to the author (s), title of the work must maintain attribution to the author (s). Any distribution of the work must maintain attribution to the author (s), title of the work must maintain attribution to the author (s). Any distribution of the author (s) attribution to the author (s) attr G. D'Auria<sup>\*</sup>, S. Di Mitri, R. Rochow, Elettra Sincrotrone Trieste, 34149 Basovizza, Italy A. Latina, X. Liu, C. Rossi, D. Schulte, S. Stapnes, X. Wu, W. Wuensch, CERN, 1211 Geneva, CH H. Castaneda Cortes, J. Clarke, D. Dunning, N. Thompson, STFC Daresbury Lab., Warrington, UK W. Fang, Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Shanghai 201800, P. R. China E. Gazis, N. Gazis, E. Tanke, E. Trachanas, IASA, Athens, Greece V. Goryashko, M. Jacewicz, R. Ruber, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden G. Taylor, Melbourne University, Melbourne, Australia R. Dowd, D. Zhu, Australian Synchrotron, ANSTO, Australia A. Aksoy, Z. Negris, Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey R. Apsimon, G. Burt, A. Castilla, Lancaster University, Lancaster, UK H. Priem, X. Janssen, VDL Enabling Technology Group, Eindhoven, Netherlands J. Luiten, P. Mutsaers, X. Stragier, Tech. University of Eindhoven, Netherlands D. Alesini, M. Bellaveglia, B. Buonomo, F. Cardelli<sup>†</sup>, M. Croia, M. Diomede, M. Ferrario, A. Gallo, A. Giribono<sup>†</sup>, L. Piersanti<sup>†</sup>, B. Spataro, C. Vaccarezza, INFN-LNF, Frascati, Italy R. Geometrante, M. Kokole, Kyma S.r.l., Trieste, Italy J. Arnesano, F. Bosco, L. Ficcadenti, A. Mostacci, L. Palumbo, Sapienza University, Rome, Italy G. Dattoli, F. Nguyen, A. Petralia, ENEA, Frascati, Italy J. Marcos, E. Marin, R. Munoz Horta, F. Perez, ALBA Synchrotron, Barcelona, Spain A. Faus-Golfe, Y. Han, CNRS, Paris, France A. Bernhard, J. Gethmann, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, Karlsruhe, Germany M. Calvi, T. Schmidt, K. Zhang, Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland D. Esperante, J. Fuster, B. Gimeno, D. Gonzalez-Iglesias, IFIC (CSIC-University of Valencia), Spain M. Aicheler, Helsinki University, Helsinki, Finland R. Hoekstra, ARCNL, Amsterdam, Netherlands A. W. Cross, L. Nix, L. Zhang, Strathclyde University, Glasgow, UK

The H2020 CompactLight Project aims at designing the second project at designing the second p tron photo injectors, and innovative compact short-period O undulators. Compared to existing facilities, the proposed g facility will benefit from a lower electron beam energy, due  $\frac{1}{2}$  to the enhanced undulators performance, and will be significantly more compact, with a smaller footprint, as a conse-quence of the lower energy and the high-gradient X-band cantly more compact, with a smaller footprint, as a conse-2 structures. In addition, the whole infrastructure will also  $\frac{1}{5}$  have a lower electrical power demand as well as lower construction and running costs.

# **INTRODUCTION**

Synchrotron radiation (SR) has become a fundamental and  $\frac{1}{2}$  indispensable tool for studying matter. The latest generation  $\hat{\beta}$  of sources, based on Free Electron Lasers (FELs) driven by of sources, based on Free Electron Lasers (FELs) driven by Elinacs, feature unprecedented performance in terms of pulse duration, brightness, and coherence. X-ray FEL facilities

**MC2: Photon Sources and Electron Accelerators A06 Free Electron Lasers** 

provide new science and technology capabilities, however

their high costs and complexity have direct consequences on their diffusion: at present, only major accelerator laboratories are able to construct and operate them. On the other hand, the demand for new FEL facilities is worldwide continuously increasing, spurring plans for new dedicated ma-

chines. This has led to a general reconsideration of costs and

spatial issues, particularly for hard X-ray facilities, driven

by long and expensive multi-GeV normal conducting linacs.

CompactLight (XLS) [1] is an International Collaboration,

funded by the European Union, including 24 Partners and 5 Associated Institutes. It represents 9 EU Member States,

2 EU Associated Countries, 1 International Organization,

and 2 Third Countries. The main objective of the Collabo-

ration is to facilitate the widespread development of X-ray

FEL facilities across Europe and beyond, by making them

more affordable to construct and operate, through an opti-

mum combination of emerging and innovative accelerator

technologies. The three-year design study, funded in the

framework of the Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation

Programme 2014-2017, started in January 2018, and intends to design an hard X-ray FEL facility beyond today's state of

the art, using the latest concepts for bright electron photo-

injectors, high-gradient X-band structures operating at 12

gerardo.dauria@elettra.eu

Now at ENEA, Frascati, Italy

GHz, and innovative short-period undulators. Compared with existing facilities, the proposed facility will (i) benefit from a lower electron beam energy, due to the enhanced undulator performance, (ii) be significantly more compact, as a consequence of the lower beam energy and the high gradient of the X-band structures, (iii) be more efficient (less power consumption), as a consequence of the lower energy and the use of higher frequency structures. These ambitious, but realistic aims, will make the design less expensive to build and operate when compared with the existing facilities, making X-ray FELs more affordable. Based on user-driven scientific requirements, i.e. wavelength range, beam structure, pulse duration, synchronisation to external laser, pulse energy, polarisation, etc., our objective is to provide the design of an ideal X-band driven hard X-rays FEL, including, as well, options for soft X-ray operation.

### **USER REQUIREMENTS AND LAYOUT**

The user requirements for CompactLight have been established interacting with existing and potential FEL users in a variety of formats. We have held face-to-face discussions at several workshops and meetings since the start of the project, we have developed a questionnaire soliciting information on aspects of their potential experiments, and we have held a specific CompactLight User Meeting at CERN in November 2018. We have distilled all of these inputs into a comprehensive photon output specification [2] summarised in Tab. 1. A preliminary layout of the CompactLight facility is shown in Fig. 1. A key request from the user community,

Table 1: Main Parameters of the CompactLight FEL

Parameter	Unit	Soft X-ray	Hard X-ray
Photon energy	keV	0.25 - 2.0	2.0 - 16.0
Wavelength	nm	5.0 - 0.6	0.6 - 0.08
Repetition rate	Hz	1000	100
Pulse duration	fs	0.1 - 50	1 - 50
Polarization		Variable,	selectable
Two-pulse delay	fs	±100	±100
Two-colour separation	%	20	10
Synchronization	fs	< 10	< 10

which affects the facility layout significantly, is the requirement for large wavelength separation when operating in two colour mode. This effectively means that two bunches must independently reach saturation in two different undulators. Normally it would be expected that this would happen in a very long undulator, tuned for two different wavelengths. However, we have decided to operate in parallel rather than series, which has several additional advantages. First, the total undulator length is approximately the same and so the parallel option is more compact overall; second, the two independent wavelengths could be combined into a single experiment or, if that is not required, two experiments could take place at the same time, doubling the capacity of the facility.



Figure 1: Sketch of the entire CompactLight facility in a two-pulse, two-color scheme.

Furthermore, we plan for the two FELs to operate over the full wavelength range. When running in hard X-ray mode the electron energy will be up to 5.5 GeV at 100Hz in soft X-ray mode the energy will be up to 2 GeV and, since the linac gradient will be much reduced, the repetition rate will be able to be increased significantly. A repetition rate of 1000 Hz for the soft-X-ray FEL will be a unique and highly desirable feature of our facility. We recognise that this is a very challenging target for many systems, and that we might have to compromise on this ambition during the course of the Design Study. We are also considering additional concepts, which include seeding in both FELs and a bypass line at 2 GeV so that one FEL could run soft X-ray and the other hard X-ray, both at 100 Hz. Preliminary time-dependent evaluations, made with GENESIS [3] for different undulators @16 keV (3 mm gap), are summarised in Tab. 2. A conceptual design for a 36 GHz RF undulator is presented in [4].

Table 2: Results of GENESIS Time-dependent Simulations

Parameter	CPMU	Delta	Hybrid	SCU
Saturation power [GW]	9.1	8.9	7.6	9.8
(pulse average)				
Saturation length [m]	24.5	26.5	29.1	15.6
Sat. pulse energy $[\mu J]$	49	48	29	54
FWHM bandwidth [10 <sup>-3</sup> ]	0.987	0.975	0.996	1.16
Peak brightness $[10^{33} \times$	2.39	2.37	1.98	2.18
$\times$ ph/s/mm <sup>2</sup> /mrad <sup>2</sup> /0.1%bw]				

# LINAC DESIGN AND MAIN SUBSYSTEMS

As shown in Fig. 1, the linac layout consists of an injection section, up to 300 MeV, with a high-brightness electron source and a laser heater, to optimise the micro-bunching instability. The first magnetic bunch compressor (BC1) is located at 300 MeV. Two X-band linacs, downstream the injection, separated with a second bunch compressor (BC2) at an energy between 1 GeV and 2 GeV, will boost the beam energy up to 5.5 GeV. At the exit the beam is steered to different undulators with a spreader. For the electron source three photocathode RF guns operated at S-, C-, and X-band are being considered. While for the first two options an X-band cavity will be adopted to linearise the beam longitudinal bunch profile, for the X-band option we are investigating the possibility to use a lineariser operating at 36 GHz (Kaband) [5,6]. Moreover, for all the solutions, we are also evaluating the possibility to use RF velocity bunchers and

**TUPRB032** 

10th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. ISBN: 978-3-95450-208-0

DO

-0.06

R<sub>co</sub> [m]

possibly passive linearisers [7]. For the main linac our goal ਤੂੰ is to define a standardised RF unit based on the CLIC tech- $\frac{1}{2}$  nology [8], which can be used in all the main and sub-design a variants. In addition to the accelerating structures, the RF Y unit will include klystron, RF compressor and waveguide 2 industrialisation process, with a considerable reduction in 5 production costs. Preliminary RF parameters of the acceler- $\frac{9}{2}$  ating structure are reported in Tab. 3.

$\frac{1}{2}$ ating structure are reported in Tab. 3.					
Table 3: Preliminary RF Parameters					
Dependence of the second secon	_				
Frequency GHz 11.9942					
Phase advance rad $2\pi/3$					
$\underline{0}$ Average iris radius $\langle a \rangle$ mm 3.5					
Total length m 0.9					
<b>E</b> RF pulse $\mu$ s 1.5					
Average gradient MV/m 65					
Group velocity $\%$ 4.5 – 1.0	1				
Filling time ns 140					
Input power per structure MW 9.8					
Structures per module - 4					
n	_				
¥					
$\frac{5}{2}$ Two operating modes have been considered: 1	00 Hz for				
<sup>2</sup> hand V area EEL and 1 hills for and V area EEL					
$\frac{1}{3}$ hard X-ray FEL and 1 kHz for solt X-ray FEL.					
of					
A PRELIMINARY SIMULATION AND					
<b>BEAM PARAMETERS</b>					
$\stackrel{\nabla}{>}$ Particle tracking runs of photo-injectors base	d on all S-				
≤ hand C-hand and X-hand RE technology for the G	un and the				
$\circ$ following accelerating structures have been done	00000000000				

 $\hat{\mathfrak{S}}$  following accelerating structures have been done assuming  $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}$  a 65 MV/m accelerating gradient in the main linac at 100 <sup>©</sup> Hz repetition rate [9]. Injector optimisation studies have addressed the minimisation of the transverse normalised emittances at the expense of the bunch length. This has  $\overline{o}$  forced operation to a relatively low initial peak current and, eventually, to a total compression factor of approximately ВΥ 100 for a final peak current of 5 kA. A two-stage magnetic Compression scheme (BC1 + BC2) is adopted. Table 4 lists the the main electron beam and FEL parameters for the 100 Hz ef rep. rate scenario. Figure 2 shows the analytical prediction for the peak voltage of a harmonic cavity in the low energy section of the linac, for linearisation of the compression process, in different injector scenarios. A design of a full C-band inejector is presented in [10]. The beam energy

Table 4: Main Electron Be	am and FEL Parameters	
Parameter	Value	
Max energy	5.5 GeV @100 Hz	
Peak current	5 kA	
Normalised emittance	0.2 mm.mrad	
Bunch charge	< 100 pC	
RMS slice energy spread	10-4	
Max photon energy	16 keV	
FEL tuning range at fixed energy	×2	
Peak spectral brightness @16 keV	10 <sup>33</sup> ph/s/mm <sup>2</sup> /mrad <sup>2</sup> /0.1%bv	

₹15 oltage Leak 12 -0.02 20 -0.04 -0.04

Figure 2: Peak voltage of the harmonic cavity (lineariser) as a function of momentum compaction and compression factor in BC1, for the S-band linac + X-band lineariser (left) and C-band linac + Ka-band lineariser scenario (right).

Compression Factor

0 -0.06

at the BCs and at the intermediate extraction point for the soft X-ray FEL (SX) and at the linac end for the hard X-ray FEL (HX) is constrained by the second priority requirement of SX FEL operation at 1 kHz. This is also served by the 2-stage compression, but the main linac has an accelerating gradient of approximately 20 MV/m, and the beam energy at the SX FEL is 2.5 GeV. Longitudinal geometric wakefields in the injector and in the X-band main linac sections have been calculated on the basis of a realistic 3-D inner geometry of the accelerating structures. The effect of the wakefields after the beam has reached the minimum bunch duration is important, and it translates into a residual, mostly linear, energy chirp. Still, a proper phasing of the linac sections has been adopted to simultaneously guarantee the specified total compression factor, the required beam energy at the SX and HX extraction point, and a final relative energy spread smaller than 0.1%. Simulation studies have so far foreseen 3-D space charge codes for the injector, 1-D tracking codes for the main linac, 1-D and 3-D FEL codes for the FEL, starting from the predicted beam parameters at the undulator entrance. A consistent linear optics design from the injector to the FEL is ongoing. Figure 3 shows preliminary results for the beam longitudinal phase space from an S-band injector, at the extraction point for the soft X-ray and the hard X-ray FEL. The relative rms energy spread is respectively 0.05% and 0.02%. The peak current in the bunch core is 5 kA. A first start-to-end simulation is presented in [11].



Figure 3: Longitudinal phase space from 1-D tracking run, at the exit of the linac section for the soft X-ray FEL (left), and at the end of the linac for the hard X-ray FEL.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 777431.

**MC2: Photon Sources and Electron Accelerators** 

DO and

work, publisher,

þ

of

author(s), title

the

### REFERENCES

- [1] CompactLight, http://www.compactlight.eu.
- [2] A. Mak, P. Salén, V. Goryashko, and J. Clarke, "Science Requirements and Performance Specification for the CompactLight X-Ray Free-Electron Laser", FREIA Rep. 2019/01, Jan. 2019, http://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn: se:uu:diva-374175.
- [3] S. Reiche, "GENESIS 1.3: A fully 3D time-dependent FEL simulation code", Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research, Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment, vol. 429, pp. 243-248, Jun. 1999. doi:10.1016/S0168-9002(99)00114-X
- [4] D. Zhu et al., "The Conceptual Design of a 36 GHz RF Undulator", presented at the 10th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'19), Melbourne, Australia, May 2019, paper TUPRB02, this conference.
- [5] X. Wu, "Initial study on 36 GHz linearizing structure and waveguide network Internal Report", presented at the 1st XLS - CompactLight Annual Meeting, Barcelona, Spain, Dec. 2018.
- [6] A. Castilla et al., "Ka-Band Linearizer Studies for a Compact Light Source", presented at the 10th Int. Particle Accelerator

Conf. (IPAC'19), Melbourne, Australia, May 2019, paper WEPRB068, this conference.

- [7] K. Bane, "Corrugated Pipe as a Beam Dechirper", SLAC Stanford, CA, USA, Rep. SLAC-PUB-14925, 2012.
- [8] CLIC Collaboration, "A Multi-TeV Linear Collider based in CLIC Technology (CLIC Conceptual Design Report)" CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, Rep. CERN-2012-007, 2012.
- [9] M. Marongiu et al., "Design of High Gradient X-Band Traveling Wave Accelerating Structures for XLS Compact Light Project", presented at the 10th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'19), Melbourne, Australia, May 2019, paper WEPRB105, this conference.
- [10] C. Vaccarezza et al., "Design of a Full C-Band Injector for Ultra-High Brightness Electron Beam", presented at the 10th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'19), Melbourne, Australia, May 2019, paper TUPTS024, this conference.
- [11] E. Marin et al., "Start-to-End Simulations of the Compact Light Project Based on an S-Band Injector and an X-Band Linac", presented at the 10th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'19), Melbourne, Australia, May 2019, paper TUPRB074, this conference.