# **REPRODUCTION OF CERAMIC CHAMBER IMPEDANCES WITH ELECTRIC AND MAGNETIC POLARITIES OF THE CERAMICS**

Y. Shobuda and M. Kinsho, JAEA/J-PARC Center, Tokai-mura, Ibaraki 319-1195, JAPAN

### Abstract

In proton synchrotrons, ceramic chambers are used as vacuum chambers to avoid the effect on magnetic fields from eddy current excited by the magnetic fields. One of the standard methods of the derivation of the impedances of the ceramic chamber is the field matching technique. In this report, we reproduce the formulae of the ceramic chamber impedance in terms of electric and magnetic polarities. When the beam passes through the chamber, the impedance is mainly excited by the electric polarity of the ceramic.

# **INTRODUCTION**

In proton synchrotrons, ceramic chambers are used to avoid effects on magnetic fields in the vacuum chamber from eddy currents excited by the magnetic fields [1, 2]. In order to accomplish the high intensity beam, it is important to evaluate the impedance due to the ceramic chamber correctly.

One of the standard methods of the derivation of the impedance of the ceramic chamber is the field matching technique [3], while it is difficult to imagine the impedance source in the calculation. It is known that the impedance is written by electric and magnetic polarities of the impedance source [4], which is useful to understand the excitation mechanism of the impedance source.

In this report, we reproduce the formulae of the ceramic chambers by explicitly calculating the electric and magnetic polarities of the ceramics. The derivation of the impedance reveals that the impedance is mainly caused by the excitation of the electric polarity of the ceramic.

In the next section, let us first derive the impedance of the ceramic chamber by using the field matching technique.

# DERIVATION OF THE IMPEDANCES WITH THE FIELD MATCHING TECHNIQUE



Figure 1: A schematic picture of the ceramic chamber.

As shown in the left figure of Fig.1, copper stripes attach

**05 Beam Dynamics and Electromagnetic Fields** 

on the ceramic chamber to shield radiation effects from beams. In order to calculate the impedance of the chamber in an analytical way, the configuration is simplified as in the right figure of Fig.1, such that the ceramic pipe is surrounded by perfectly conductive pipe instead of copper stripes. It is known that the approximation well describes the impedance of the ceramic chamber except nonrelativistic beams [5].

# Longitudinal Impedance

Let us derive the longitudinal impedance when a relativistic beam passes through the chamber. A cylindrical coordinate  $(r, \varphi, z)$  is used in the following calculations. From now on, we omit the factor  $e^{-j\bar{k}z}$  in the expression of the fields. General solutions for the monopole mode (m = 0) are expressed as

$$E_z = A(\tilde{k}),\tag{1}$$

$$H_{\varphi} = \frac{c}{2\pi r} + \frac{j\tilde{k}rA(\tilde{k})}{2Z_0},\tag{2}$$

inside the chamber (r < a), and

$$E_z = B(\tilde{k})[J_0(\tilde{\alpha}r) - \frac{J_0(\tilde{\alpha}a_2)}{Y_0(\tilde{\alpha}a_2)}Y_0(\tilde{\alpha}r)],$$
(3)

$$H_{\varphi} = \frac{j\epsilon' B(k)}{Z_0 \sqrt{\epsilon' - 1}} [J_1(\tilde{\alpha}r) - \frac{J_0(\tilde{\alpha}a_2)}{Y_0(\tilde{\alpha}a_2)} Y_1(\tilde{\alpha}r)], \quad (4)$$

in the ceramic  $(a < r < a_2)$ , where  $\tilde{\alpha} = \tilde{k}\sqrt{\epsilon'-1}$ , c is velocity of light,  $\tilde{k} = \omega/c$ ,  $Z_0 = 120\pi$ ,  $\epsilon'$  is the relative dielectric constant of the ceramic,  $A(\tilde{k}), B(\tilde{k})$  are arbitrary coefficients that will be determined by using the matching conditions on the surface specified by r = a. The longitudinal impedance is given as

$$Z_L = -\frac{A(\tilde{k})}{c}\mathcal{L},\tag{5}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the length of the chamber and

$$A(\tilde{k}) = \frac{-\frac{cZ_0}{2\pi a}}{-\frac{j\epsilon'[J_1(\tilde{\alpha}a) - \frac{J_0(\tilde{\alpha}a_2)}{Y_0(\tilde{\alpha}a_2)}Y_1(\tilde{\alpha}a)]}{\sqrt{\epsilon'-1}[J_0(\tilde{\alpha}a) - \frac{J_0(\tilde{\alpha}a_2)}{Y_0(\tilde{\alpha}a_2)}Y_0(\tilde{\alpha}a)]} + \frac{j\tilde{k}a}{2}}.$$
 (6)

For low frequency, it is approximated to

$$(Z_L)_{ceramic} = j \frac{\omega Z_0(\epsilon' - 1)\mathcal{L}}{2\pi\epsilon' c} \log \frac{a_2}{a}, \qquad (7)$$

which is identical to the previous Tsutsui's results.

# ISBN 978-3-95450-115-1

#### Transverse Impedance

When a non-relativistic beam with the azimuthal dependency of  $j_z = q\beta c\delta(r - r_b)\cos(\varphi - \varphi_b)e^{-jkz}/\pi r_b$  is running inside the chamber, general solutions for the dipole mode (m = 1) are expressed as

$$E_z = i_1 (E_z^S + A(k) I_1(\bar{k}r) \cos(\varphi - \varphi_b)), \qquad (8)$$
$$H_\varphi = i_1 H_\varphi^S$$

$$+i_1 \frac{j\gamma\left(\frac{B(k)I_1(\bar{k}r)}{r} + \frac{\beta\bar{k}A(k)}{Z_0}I_1'(\bar{k}r)\right)\cos(\varphi - \varphi_b)}{\bar{k}}, \quad (9)$$

$$H_z = i_1 B(k) I_1(\bar{k}r) \sin(\varphi - \varphi_b), \tag{10}$$

$$E_{\varphi} = i_1 E_{\varphi}^{\varphi} - i_1 \frac{1}{\bar{k}}$$

$$\times \left( \bar{k}B(k)I_1'(\bar{k}r) + \frac{A(k)}{Z_0\beta r}I_1(\bar{k}r) \right) \sin(\varphi - \varphi_b), \quad (11)$$

inside the chamber (r < a), and

$$E_{z} = C_{3}(k)[J_{1}(\alpha r) - \frac{J_{1}(\alpha a_{2})}{Y_{1}(\alpha a_{2})}Y_{1}(\alpha r)]\cos(\varphi - \varphi_{b}),$$
(12)
$$H = \frac{j\beta\epsilon'}{I_{1}(\alpha a_{2})}[\frac{Z_{0}C_{1}(k)(J_{1}(\alpha r) - \frac{J_{1}'(\alpha a_{2})}{Y_{1}'(\alpha a_{2})}Y_{1}(\alpha r))]$$

$$H_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{Z_0 k (1 - \epsilon' \beta^2)} \left[ \frac{1}{\beta \epsilon' r} + C_3(k) \alpha (J_1'(\alpha r) - \frac{J_1(\alpha a_2)}{Y_1(\alpha a_2)} Y_1'(\alpha r)) \right] \cos(\varphi - \varphi_b),$$
(13)

$$dH_z = C_1(k)[J_1(\alpha r) - \frac{J_1'(\alpha a_2)}{Y_1'(\alpha a_2)}Y_1(\alpha r)]\sin(\varphi - \varphi_b),$$
(14)

$$E_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{jk(1-\beta^{2}\epsilon')} [\alpha\beta Z_{0}C_{1}(J_{1}'(\alpha r) - \frac{J_{1}'(\alpha a_{2})}{Y_{1}'(\alpha a_{2})}Y_{1}'(\alpha r)) + \frac{C_{3}}{r} (J_{1}(\alpha r) - \frac{J_{1}(\alpha a_{2})}{Y_{1}(\alpha a_{2})}Y_{1}(k\sqrt{\epsilon'-1}r))]\cos(\varphi - \varphi_{b}),$$
(15)

in the ceramic  $(a < r < a_2)$ , where

$$E_z^S = \begin{cases} \frac{jkcZ_0I_1(\bar{k}r_b)}{\pi r_b\gamma^2}K_1(\bar{k}r)\cos(\varphi-\varphi_b) & \text{for } r > r_b, \\ \frac{jkcZ_0K_1(\bar{k}r_b)}{\pi r_b\gamma^2}I_1(\bar{k}r)\cos(\varphi-\varphi_b) & \text{for } r_b > r, \end{cases}$$
(16)

$$E_{\varphi}^{S} = \begin{cases} \frac{cZ_{0}I_{1}(\bar{k}r_{b})}{r\pi r_{b}}K_{1}(\bar{k}r)\sin(\varphi-\varphi_{b}) & \text{for } r > r_{b}, \\ \frac{cZ_{0}K_{1}(\bar{k}r_{b})}{r\pi r_{b}}I_{1}(\bar{k}r)\sin(\varphi-\varphi_{b}) & \text{for } r_{b} > r, \end{cases}$$

$$(17)$$

$$H_{\varphi}^{S} = \begin{cases} \frac{\beta k c I_{1}(\bar{k}r_{b})}{2\pi r_{b}\gamma} (K_{0}(\bar{k}r) + K_{2}(\bar{k}r)) \cos(\varphi - \varphi_{b}) \\ \text{for } r > r_{b}, \\ -\frac{\beta k c K_{1}(\bar{k}r_{b})}{2\pi r_{b}\gamma} (I_{0}(\bar{k}r) + I_{2}(\bar{k}r)) \cos(\varphi - \varphi_{b}) \\ \text{for } r_{b} > r, \end{cases}$$

$$(18)$$

The factor  $e^{-jkz}$  is omitted,  $\beta$  is Lorentz- $\beta$ ,  $\alpha = k\sqrt{\epsilon'-1}$ ,  $k = 2\pi f/\beta c$ ,  $\bar{k} = k/\gamma$ ,  $K_m(z)$  and  $I_m(z)$  are **ISBN 978-3-95450-115-1 O 3052**  the modified Bessel functions, respectively,  $i_1 = qr_b$ ,  $A(k), B(k), C_1(k)$  and  $C_3(k)$  are arbitrary coefficients, which are determined by boundary conditions at r = a, as well.

Using the Panofsky-Wenzel theorem, the expression of the transverse impedance for the relativistic beam in the low frequency as

$$Z_T = j \frac{\mathcal{L}Z_0(a_2^2 - a^2)}{2\pi a^2 a_2^2}.$$
 (19)

When the thickness of the chamber  $a_2 - a$  is so small compared to a, we reproduce the empirical formula:

$$(Z_T)_{ceramic} = j \frac{Z_0 \mathcal{L}}{\pi a^2} \log \frac{a_2}{a} \simeq \frac{2}{ka^2} (Z_L)_{ceramic}.$$
 (20)

# DERIVATION OF THE IMPEDANCES WITH THE ELECTRIC AND MAGNETIC POLARITIES IN THE CERAMICS

In this section, we reproduce the impedances of the ceramic chamber in terms of the electric and magnetic polarities in the ceramic. Let us confine our discussion on the chamber whose longitudinal length  $\mathcal{L}$  is sufficiently longer than the radius of the chamber a. First, we explain how to derive the magnetic polarity  $\alpha_m$  in the ceramic. The assumption was made that the uniform magnetic dipole moment per a volume  $\vec{m}$ , which is equal to  $m(-\sin\theta,\cos\theta,0)$ , exists at  $(r_0\cos\theta, r_0\sin\theta, \xi)$ . The vector potential  $\vec{A}$  at  $(r\cos\varphi, r\sin\varphi, z)$  can be described as,

$$\vec{A} = \int \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \frac{m(r') \times \vec{r}}{r^3} dV'$$

$$= \frac{\mu_0 m}{4\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \oint d\theta \int_a^{a_2} dr_0 r_0 \times \frac{[(z-\xi)\cos\theta, (z-\xi)\sin\theta, -r\cos(\varphi-\theta) + r_0]}{((r\cos\varphi - r_0\cos\theta)^2 + (r\sin\varphi - r_0\sin\theta)^2 + (z-\xi)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}$$
(21)

where both the upper and the lower bounds of  $\xi$ -integration approximately go to infinity. After the  $\xi$ -integration, only  $A_z$  component is not zero. Then, we obtain

$$A_{z} = \frac{\mu_{0}m}{2\pi} \oint d\theta \int_{a}^{a_{2}} dr_{0}r_{0} \frac{-r\cos(\varphi - \theta) + r_{0}}{r^{2} + r_{0}^{2} - 2rr_{0}\cos(\varphi - \theta)}$$
  
=  $\mu_{0}m(a_{2} - \max\{r, a\}).$  (22)

The vector potential gives the magnetic field as

$$H_{\theta} = \begin{cases} m & \text{for } r > a, \\ 0 & \text{for } r < a. \end{cases}$$
(23)

Since the magnetic field in the ceramic is given by the superposition of Eq.(23) and

$$H_{\theta} = \frac{I_B}{2\pi r},\tag{24}$$

05 Beam Dynamics and Electromagnetic Fields

**D05 Instabilities - Processes, Impedances, Countermeasures** 

where  $I_B$  is the beam current, it is described as

$$H_{\theta} = m + \frac{I_B}{2\pi r}.$$
 (25)

In vacuum, the magnetic field is given by

$$H_{\theta} = \frac{I_B}{2\pi r}.$$
 (26)

Since the azimuthal component of magnetic field should be continuous, the magnetic dipole moment m is equal to zero. In other words, the magnetic polarity  $\alpha_m$  is identical to zero.

Secondly, we derive the electric polarity  $\alpha_e$  in the ceramic. For this purpose, let us calculate the radial component of the electric field. When a longitudinally uniform charge, whose line density is equal to  $\lambda$ , exists at the center of the chamber, the radial component of the electric field  $E_r$  is given by

$$E_r = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0 r} & \text{for } r < a, \\ \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon'\epsilon_0 r} & \text{for } r > a. \end{cases}$$
(27)

Since there is perfectly conductive pipe at the outer surface of the ceramic  $a_2$ , the electric potential  $\Phi$  is expressed as

$$\Phi = - \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0} \log \frac{r}{a} + \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon'\epsilon_0} \log \frac{a}{a_2} & \text{for } r < a, \\ \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon'\epsilon_0} \log \frac{r}{a_2} & \text{for } r > a. \end{cases}$$
(28)

When the beam runs in the chamber, the negative charge is induced on the inner surface of the chamber and the positive charge is done on the outer surface of the chamber. Then, we can imagine that there is the electric dipole moment per a volume  $\vec{p}$ , which is equal to  $p_0(\cos\theta, \sin\theta, 0)$ , along the radial direction.

The electric potential  $\Phi_e$  at  $(r \cos \varphi, r \sin \varphi, z)$  due to the electric dipole is given by

$$\Phi_e = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int \frac{\vec{p}(r') \cdot \vec{r}}{r^3} dV'.$$
 (29)

If the dipole moment exists at  $(r_0 \cos \theta, r_0 \sin \theta, \xi)$ , the electric potential  $\Phi_e$  is calculated as

$$\Phi_e = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \oint d\theta \int_a^{a_2} dr_0 r_0 \times \frac{p_0 [\cos\theta(r\cos\varphi - r_0\cos\theta) + \sin\theta(r\sin\varphi - r_0\sin\theta)]}{((r\cos\varphi - r_0\cos\theta)^2 + (r\sin\varphi - r_0\sin\theta)^2 + (z-\xi)^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = -\frac{p_0}{\epsilon_0} (a_2 - \max\{r, a\}),$$
(30)

where the both the upper and the lower bounds of  $\xi$ -integration approximately go to infinity.

Here the assumption was made that the electric potential in the ceramic is given by the superposition of the potential  $\Phi$  without the ceramic, which is equal to  $-\frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0}\log\frac{r}{a_2}$  and  $\Phi_e$ , which is given by Eq.(30). It is given by

$$-\frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0}\log\frac{r}{a_2} - \frac{p_0}{\epsilon_0}(a_2 - \max\{r, a\}).$$
(31)

# **05 Beam Dynamics and Electromagnetic Fields**

#### D05 Instabilities - Processes, Impedances, Countermeasures

Since Eqs.(28) and (31) are continuous at r = a, we obtain

$$-\frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0}\log\frac{a}{a_2} - \frac{p_0}{\epsilon_0}(a_2 - a) = -\frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon'\epsilon_0}\log\frac{a}{a_2}.$$
 (32)

Equation(32) is rewritten, and the electric dipole moment per a volume  $p_0$  is expressed as

$$p_0 = \frac{\lambda}{2\pi(a_2 - a)} (\frac{1}{\epsilon'} - 1) \log \frac{a}{a_2}.$$
 (33)

Since the volume of the ceramic pipe is equal to  $\mathcal{L}2\pi a(a_2 - a)$ , the total electric dipole moment P is calculated as

$$P = p_0 \mathcal{L} 2\pi a (a_2 - a) = \mathcal{L} a \lambda (\frac{1}{\epsilon'} - 1) \log \frac{a}{a_2}.$$
 (34)

Since the electric polarity  $\alpha_e$  is defined as

$$P = \alpha_e \epsilon_0 \frac{\lambda}{2\pi\epsilon_0 a},\tag{35}$$

it is expressed as

2

$$a_e = 2\pi \mathcal{L}a^2 (\frac{1}{\epsilon'} - 1)\log\frac{a}{a_2}.$$
(36)

We have already known that both the longitudinal and the transverse impedances are given by [4]

$$Z_L = j \frac{\omega Z_0}{c} \frac{\alpha_e + \alpha_m}{4\pi^2 a^2},\tag{37}$$

$$Z_T = j Z_0 \frac{\alpha_e + \alpha_m}{2\pi^2 a^4},\tag{38}$$

by using the electric and the magnetic polarities. Substituting Eq.(36) and  $\alpha_m = 0$  into Eqs.(37) and (38), we obtain

$$Z_L = j \frac{\omega Z_0}{c} \frac{1}{4\pi^2 a^2} 2\pi \mathcal{L} a^2 (\frac{1}{\epsilon'} - 1) \log \frac{a}{a_2}$$
$$\simeq j \frac{\omega Z_0 \mathcal{L}}{2\pi c} \log \frac{a_2}{a}, \tag{39}$$

$$Z_T = j Z_0 \frac{1}{2\pi^2 a^4} 2\pi \mathcal{L} a^2 (\frac{1}{\epsilon'} - 1) \log \frac{a}{a_2}$$
  
$$\simeq j Z_0 \frac{\mathcal{L}}{\pi a^2} \log \frac{a_2}{a}.$$
 (40)

Eqs.(39) and (40) are identical to Eqs.(7) and (20). The derivation of the impedance reveals that the dominant contribution to the impedances of the ceramic chamber comes from the electric polarity rather than the magnetic one.

# REFERENCES

- M. Kinsho *et al*, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. A**20**, (3), 829, (2002);
   M. Kinsho *et al*, in Proceeding of PAC 2005, Knoxville, Tennessee, 2604, (2005)
- [2] Y. Shobuda *et al*, PRST Accel. Beams **12**, 032401, (2009)
- [3] B. W. Zotter and S. A. Kheifets, *Impedances and wakes in high-energy particle accelerators*, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998).
- [4] S. S. Kurennoy, PA 39, 1, (1992); PA 50, 167, (1995);
   R. L. Gluckstern, PR A 46, 1106, 1110 (1992); S. S. Kurennoy, R. L. Gluckstern and G. T. Stupakov, PRE 52, 4354, (1995);
- [5] Y. Shobuda *et al*, in Proceedings of PAC 2005, Knoxville, Tennessee, 1898, (2005)