FIRST TEST RESULTS OF THE BERLINPRO 2-CELL BOOSTER CAVITIES

A. Burrill[#], W. Anders, A. Frahm, J. Knobloch, A. Neumann, Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin, Berlin Germany

G. Ciovati, W. Clemens, P. Kneisel, L. Turlington, Jefferson Laboratory, Newport News, VA, USA

Abstract

The bERLinPro Energy Recovery Linac (ERL) is currently being built at Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin in order to study the physics of operating a high current, 100 mA, 50 MeV ERL utilizing all SRF cavity technology. This machine will utilize three unique SRF cryomodules for the photoinjector, booster and linac cryomodules respectively. The focus of this paper will be on the cavities contained within the booster cryomodule. Here there will be three 2-cell SRF cavities, based on the original design by Cornell University, but optimized to meet the needs of the project. All of the cavity fabrication, processing and testing was carried out at Jefferson Laboratory where 4 cavities were produced and the 3 cavities with the best RF performance will be fitted with helium vessels for installation in the cryomodule. This paper will report on the test results of the cavities as measured in the vertical testing dewar at JLab after fabrication and again after outfitting with the helium vessels.

INTRODUCTION

Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin (HZB) is building a high average current, 100 mA, Energy Recovery Linac (ERL) at the site in Adlershof, Berlin Germany. The machine is designed using all superconducting RF accelerating

author(s), title of cavities in order to demonstrate that the operation of such a machine is possible and to allow for a study of the physics of its operation.[1, 2] The 100 mA average current will be recirculated in a single pass at an energy of 50 MeV in continuous wave (c.w.) operation as shown in rigure 1. The ERL is made up of a 1.4 cell SRF photoinjector cryomodule, fitted with a normal conducting multi-alkali (CsK₂Sb) photocathode, a 3 cavity booster cryomodule, the focus of this paper, and a 3 cavity linac cryomodule. More information maintain ; photoinjector can be found in references 3 & 4.[3, 4] The booster cryomodule is designed to accelerate the 2 MeV beam from the photoiniector to 6 MeV for insertion into must the recirculation arc and the linac cryomodule. Here the beam will be further accelerated to 50 MeV to make a work single pass around the machine and then decelerated to 6 MeV and sent to the beam dump.

MeV and sent to the beam dump. The ERL is designed to operate in a number of different modes to fully explore the operational parameter space associated with the recirculation of a high current, low emittance 5 MW beam. In many of these operating modes there is very strong beam loading for the photoinjector and booster cavities which places stringent demands on the fundamental power couplers, a topic viewhich has been expanded upon in other publications and view operation the photoinjector and the accelerating booster ©



Figure 1: The bERLinPro Energy Recovery Linac machine layout.

#aburrill@helmholtz-berlin.de

7: Accelerator Technology T07 - Superconducting RF the work, publisher, and DOI.

cavities required that 230 kW be delivered to each cavity ថ្ន៍ via a pair of high power coaxial RF couplers.[4-6] The is coupler design is based on the coupler for the KEK cERL and has been modified for this project. [7]

The booster cavities are two cell elliptical cavities operating at 1.3 GHz that are based on the Cornell 2 injector design.[6, 8] Three cavities will be installed into $\frac{1}{2}$ the cryomodule where two cavities will be used to e accelerate the electron beam from 2 MeV to 6 MeV, while the third cavity will be operated at zero-crossing for E bunch compression of the beam prior to injection into the grecirculation loop. The cavity design has been modified to provide the

The cavity design has been modified to provide the $\stackrel{\circ}{\exists}$ necessary strong coupling to the cavities, loaded Q of 10⁵, \mathfrak{L} while minimizing the penetration of the coupler into the .5 beampipe. This was accomplished by tapering the E fundamental power coupler port to get the coupler as $\frac{1}{2}$ close to the cavity as possible, while also utilizing a golf ∃ tee antenna tip on the coupler. Figure 2 provides a view mainta of the first production cavity while table 1 summarizes the relevant RF parameters for the three cavities.

Table 1: The RF Parameters of the Booster Cavity with

| 1 wo mgi 10wei Ki Coupieis | |
|---|--------------------|
| Operating Frequency | 1300 MHz |
| Number of cells | 2 |
| E_0 -peak field on axis | 7, 19, 19 MV/m |
| Energy gain per cavity | 0, 2.1, 2.1 MeV |
| R/Q | 219 Ω |
| G | 261 Ω |
| $E_{\text{peak}}/E_{\text{acc}}$ | 2.0 |
| $E B_{\text{peak}}/E_{\text{acc}}$ | 4.4 mT/(MV/m) |
| Q_L at nominal coupler position | 1.05×10^5 |
| P _{forward} (100 mA operation) | 230 kW |
| 0 | |

CAVITY FABRICATION AND TESTING

licence (© Jefferson Laboratory produced 4 booster cavities for 0 the bERLinPro project from 3.125 mm Nb sheet material (RRR>300) and NbTi flanges. The beamline and coupler ВΥ flanges have a 6" Conflat design while the two fieldprobe flanges are of the XFEL design. The helium vessel heads were made from Titanium, so a short NbTi transition piece was added to each beampipe to avoid possible contamination of the Nb sheet material with Titanium during welding. The entire cavity was electron beam welded at Jefferson Lab. The four cavities were all between the four cavities was excellent at less than 30 əğ kHz.

Following the fabrication the cavities were all þ chemically etched using the 1:1:2 buffered chemical mav polishing in the JLab closed chemistry cabinet. Ξ Following the bulk, 120 µm, chemistry the cavities were [≥] heat treated at 600 °C for 10 hours and subsequently given a light 25 µm BCP and high pressure rinse before E testing. It should be noted that all cavities except BB002 where HF rinsed after the bulk DCD and the where HF rinsed after the bulk BCP, and before heat Conten treatment. Each cavity was cooled to 2K and then 1.8K

2766

č

for qualification testing in the VTA at JLab. The qualification. O vs E curves are shown in figure 3 for all four cavities. It should be noted that all cavities far



Figure 2: The first bERLinPro booster cavity fabricated at Jefferson Lab.

exceeded the design specification during the first vertical test. In addition to the outstanding performance, the pressure sensitivity of the cavities was also measured and compared to simulations of the bare cavity. The simulated df/dp for a 3 mm thick cavity wall is -180 Hz/mbar, in good agreement with the measured average value for the four cavities of -205 Hz/mbar.[9] One cavity. BB001, had a much larger df/dp value than the other three, -240 Hz/mbar vs -194 Hz/mbar (avg of 3), and it was the only cavity fabricated with half cells formed by both Cornell and Jefferson Laboratory, suggesting that the differences in the forming or trimming of the $\frac{1}{2}$ cells may have influenced the material properties and thus the frequency sensitivity. The df/dp of the cavity installed in the helium vessel with the tuner attached has been calculated to be \sim 5 Hz/mbar, a value that will be measured soon.



Figure 3: The Q₀ vs Eacc curves for the four booster cavities for the bERLinPro project as measured at 1.8K in the JLab VTA. The design specification for the accelerating cavities is shown by the orange circle and the 10 W dissipated power line is shown by the solid blue line.

7: Accelerator Technology **T07 - Superconducting RF** 6th International Particle Accelerator Conference ISBN: 978-3-95450-168-7

DOD and ler. work,

The Lorentz force detuning for the 4 cavities has been measured in the VTA and the average detuning for the 4 cavities was -6.4 Hz/[MV/m]². Again, cavity BB001 had a much higher detuning coefficient than the other 3 cavities, $-9.2 \text{ vs} - 5.45 \text{ Hz/}[\text{MV/m}]^2$ (avg of 3).

Following the vertical testing, the best 3 performing cavities were outfitted with helium vessels, as shown in figure 4a.





Figure 4: The first booster cavity welded into the helium vessel (a), and the RF test of the cavity in the helium vessel as measured at 2K compared to the bare cavity at 1.8K (b).

The first cavity test in the helium vessel has been completed, and the performance is nearly identical to the bare cavity test, as shown in figure 4b. It should be noted that the cavity in the HV had a superfluid leak that appeared when pumping to 1.8K. Unfortunately after several attempts to isolate the leak the cavity has still not been tested successfully at 1.8K. Even with these testing challenges, the cavity performance still far exceeds the required operating gradient of 10 MV/m and Q₀ of 5e+9.

BOOSTER CAVITY FUTURE PLANS

After the helium vessels are attached and the cavity tests completed at JLab the cavities will be shipped to HZB for further testing in HoBiCaT, the horizontal test cryostat. The purpose of this set of tests is to equip the cavities with the two high power RF couplers that will be used in bERLinPro and measure the cavity/coupler performance in a configuration that most closely matches the cryomodule. This will allow us to measure the coupling to the cavity as well as perform a set of detailed RF and thermal measurements of the cavity/coupler arrangement. This should provide us with the best JC understanding of this system prior to building the cryomodule for bERLinPro, and should allow us identify and remedy any issues which are noted before the module is built. The high power couplers should be delivered in the 3rd Quarter of 2016 and the testing in HoBiCaT should begin in early 2017 with the module assembly taking place in the summer of 2017.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to acknowledge the fabricators and technicians at Jefferson Laboratory who made these cavities possible. Special thanks to Bill Clemens, Gary Slack, Bob Martin and Jeff Dail of the SRF Department.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Knobloch, et al., "Berlinpro Addressing the Challenges of Modern Erls (a Status Report)", ICFA Newsletter, 58 p. 118 (2012).
- [2] M. Abo-Bakr, et al., "Status of the HZB ERL Project Berlinpro", IPAC'14, Dresden, Germany, p. 340 (2014).
- [3] A. Burrill, et al., "First Horizontal Test Results of the HZB SRF Photoinjector for Berlinpro", These proceedings, p. (2015).
- [4] A. Burrill, et al., "Processing and Testing of the SRF Photoinjector Cavity for Berlinpro", IPAC'14, Dresden, Germany, p. 2484 (2014).
- [5] A. Neumann, et al., "SRF Photoinjector Cavity for p. 285 Berlinpro", IPAC'13, Shanghai, China, (2013).
- "Booster Cavity [6] A. Neumann, et al., and Fundamental Power Coupler Design Issues for Berlinpro", IPAC'14, Dresden, Germany, p. 2490 (2014).
- [7] E. Kako, et al., "High Power Tests of Cw Input Couplers for Cerl Injector Cryomodule", IPAC'12, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, p. 2230 (2012).
- [8] V. Shemelin, et al., "Dipole-Mode-Free and Kick-Free 2-Cell Cavity for the Sc ERL Injector", PAC'03, Portland, OR, USA, p. 2059 (2003).
- [9] E. Zaplatin, Private communication, (2014).