RF TEST RESULTS FROM CRYOMODULE 1 AT THE FERMILAB SRF BEAM TEST FACILITY*

E. Harms#, K. Carlson, B. Chase, E. Cullerton, A. Hocker, C. Jensen, P. Joireman, A. Klebaner, T. Kubicki, M. Kucera, A. Legan, J. Leibfritz, A. Martinez, M. McGee, S. Nagaitsev, O. Nezhevenko, D. Nicklaus, H. Pfeffer, Y. Pischalnikov, P. Prieto, J. Reid, W. Schappert, V. Tupikov, P. Varghese, Fermilab, Batavia, IL 60510, U.S.A.

J. Branlard, DESY, Hamburg, Germany

Abstract

Powered operation of Cryomodule 1 (CM-1) at the Fermilab SRF Beam Test Facility began in late 2010. Since then a series of tests first on the eight individual cavities and then the full cryomodule have been performed. We report on the results of these tests and lessons learned which will have an impact on future module testing at Fermilab.



Figure 1: View of CM-1 from upstream to downstream with the waveguide distribution installed.

INTRODUCTION

CM-1 is the first Tesla Type III Cryomodule to be placed into operation in the United States and the first multi-cavity cryomodule of any type to become operational at Fermilab. It was provided to Fermilab as a 'kit' from DESY in exchange for a 4-cavity 3.9 GHz module, ACC39, which was designed and assembled at Fermilab and is now in operation at DESY's FLASH facility. Assembly of the module has been documented previously [1]. Plans for a fully-utilized facility are described elsewhere at this conference. [2]. Table 1 highlights the major steps in bringing CM-1 into operation from its final installation in January 2010 to the present. Figure 1 shows CM-1 installed in the cave at the SRF Beam Test Facility.

Milestone	Date	
Cryomodule moved	22 January 2010	
into final position and		
aligned		
Permission to initiate	11 June 2010	
RF commissioning and		
warm coupler		
conditioning		
5 MW RF/Klystron	June - July 2010	
commissioning		
Warm coupler	August – October 2010	
conditioning - one		
cavity at a time (4 - 14		
days/cavity)		
Cryogenic and	October – November 2010	
vacuum connections,		
leak check		
Cool down from	17 – 22 November 2010	
room temperature to 4		
then 2 Kelvin		
Approval to initiate	10 December 2010	
cold RF operation		
Cavity 1/Z89 cold	17 December 2010 - 26	
conditioning and	January 2011,	
evaluation	18-22 March 2011	
Cavity 8/S33 cold	28 January 2011 - 7 March	
conditioning and	2011	
evaluation		
Cavity 2/AC75 cold	7 - 16 March 2011	
conditioning and		
evaluatio		
Cavity 3/AC73 cold	26 March - 4 April 2011	
conditioning and		
evaluation		
Cavity 4/Z106 cold	20 April - 19 May 2011	
conditioning and		
evaluation		
Cavity 5/Z107 cold	20 - 25 May	
conditioning and		
evaluation		
Cavity 6/Z98 cold	3 - 9 June	
conditioning and		
evaluation		

Table 1: CM-1 Commissioning Milestones

^{*}Operated by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11359 with the United States Department of Energy. #Harms@fnal.gov

Cavity 7/Z91 cold	9 - 11 June
conditioning and	
evaluation	
Installation of	13 June - 5 July 2011
Waveguide Distribution	
system and Water	
System upgrade	
First powering of	6 July 2011
CM-1	
Evaluation and	7 July -
Operation of CM-1	

SRF BEAM TEST FACILITY OVERVIEW

CM-1 operation is made possible by a helium refrigerator/liquifier providing 110 Watts of cooling, a 5 MW klystron and associated high voltage power supply. Vacuum system, equipment interlock, and a controls system round out the infrastructure necessary for CM-1 operation.

Low Level RF (LLRF) System

The 1.3 GHz forward, reflected, and cavity probe signals of the CM-1 module cavities are down converted to 13 MHz and sent to a FPGA based digital signal processor (MFC, or Multiple-cavity Field Controller). Three down-converters with 8 channels each are used to do the down-conversion. The forward, reflected, and cavity signal are down-converted on separate boards. The down-conversion boards have a channel-to-channel isolation better than 76 dB. The LLRF system has a dynamic range of 153 dB, with a maximum input power of ± 10 dBm.

The 13 MHZ IF signals that are sent to the FPGA signal processor (MFC) are first down-converted to baseband I and Q signals. A vector sum of all eight cavity signals are used to provide a proportional / integral feedback to control the amplitude and phase. The MFC also uses feed-forward to generate 13 MHz I and Q signals that are up-converted, on the same board as the down conversion, to 1.3GHz to provide the RF drive to the klystron.

Calibration of the LLRF system was done using a calibrated RF source, and driving the signal into the forward power LLRF receiver chain. Measured data from the waveguide directional coupler was then added into the calibration. The reverse power was matched to the power at the beginning of the pulse when the cavity looks like a short circuit. Then, using the calibrated forward power, the cavity gradient was calibrated using the equation below.

The LLRF parameters and signals are controlled and displayed on a LabviewTM interface which is tied into Fermilab's ACNET controls system. The LabviewTM interface allows simple access to all the LLRF parameters and also allows for data analysis, such as FFT's and loaded Q measurements. The measured phase stability of

the LLRF system is 0.05 deg/deg F, and amplitude stability is 0.009 dB/deg F

Cavity Voltage =
$$\sqrt{4 \cdot P_{fwd} \cdot R/Q} \cdot Q_l \cdot (1 - e^{-t/\tau})/L$$

where,
 P_{fwd} = Forward power (Watts)

 $\frac{K}{Q} = 1036$ $Q_l = 3e6$ $\tau = 735 \ \mu s$ $L = 1.038 \ meters$

$$t = time \ of \ P_{fwd} \ measurement \ (\mu s)$$

Motor Control System

The Cryomodule motion control system has the ability to drive up to 24 DC stepper motors at a time.

With this system all 8 'internal' cavity frequency adjustments along with 8 'external' coupler adjustments and 8 'external' phase shifters can be made remotely.

A typical motion system for the CM-1 module consists of the following commercial and Fermilab-designed components:

- 1 VME based Motorola 5500 Power PC.
- 1 IP #IPUCD Fermilab designed Universal clock decoder.
- 2 IP (Industry Pack) #IP330A 16 channel (ea.) A/D modules by ACROMAG
- 6 IP modules #8601 4 channels (ea.) DC stepper motor controller by Hytec Electronics.
- 3 8 channel (ea.) Motor driver chassis by ACS (advanced Control Systems)- STEP/PAK.
- 3 VME based IP Carrier boards.

All Hardware is integrated into the VME5500 Processor card and is controlled through Fermilab's control system known as 'ACNET'.

This system will have the ability to auto-tune all components using frequency feedback for a closed loop auto-tune feature, but is not yet implemented.

COMMISSIONING ACTIVITIES

The prescription followed to commission CM-1 once it was cooled to 2 Kelvin closely mimics the sequence used at DESY's Cryo Module Test Bench: individual power-up and coupler conditioning followed by full module operation [3]. For initial operation the waveguide between the klystron and CM-1 was connected to one cavity at a time and manually disconnected and re-attached to the directional coupler of the next cavity to be evaluated.

- Document the frequency spectrum
- Adjust resonant frequency to 1.300 GHz,
- Adjust $Q_L = 3.0 \text{ E6}$,
- Perform signal calibrations with a low power (few kW Forward power) and full pulse 700 μs fill time and 500 υs flattop
- Determine initial E_{Acc} and k_T
- Perform on-resonance conditioning with pulse widths of 20, 50, 100, 200. Peak power of 1MW is the goal with pulse widths up to 200 μs.
- Determine peak cavity operating gradient and source of limitation with 1200 µs pulse/5 Hz repetition rate
- Evaluate onset and magnitude of any field emission and dark current.
- Measure LLRF calibrations and demonstrate closed loop (feedback on) operation.
- Perform LFDC scans and demonstrate same Determine Q₀ vs. E_{Acc} by measuring the Dynamic Heat Load at gradients close to the performance limit.

Individual Cavity Evaluation

Each of the eight cavities showed a unique signature when it was tested by itself – time to condition, peak gradient, Q0, limiting factor, onset and magnitude of filed emission, dark current, for example. Full analysis a work in progress. Table 2 summarizes the performance of each cavity when powered individually and the limiting factor. level probe, perhaps indicating helium boiling. These cavities could reach a higher gradient threshold if the flattop length was reduced. Cavities #1/Z89, #3/AC73, and #7/Z91specifically show such behavior, an example of which is seen in figure 2.



Figure 2: Variation of Cavity #1 Q_L with Gradient, E_{Acc} . The E_{Acc} plot limits are 10 to 25 MV/m. The green trace is with a 700 µs flattop and cyan with a 100 µs flattop.

These steps include:

'Soft' quenches are characterized by a drop in Q_L and shortly thereafter by a response of the cryogenic system evidenced by a change in the return pressure and liquid level if the gradient is increased.

While adjusting the resonant frequency for Cavity #8, the tuner motor ceased to operate after approximately twenty minutes of operation and a move of about -160 kHz from the as-found frequency. Troubleshooting has determined that the leads to the motor are shorted near the where they enter the motor housing. For this cavity's evaluation, the oscillator driving the LLRF system is set to put the cavity on resonance.

Table 2: CM-1 Cavity Performance Parameters

Cavity #	Peak	Estimated	Limitation/Comments
	EAcc	Maximum	
	(MV/m)	\mathbf{Q}_{0}	
1/Z89	18.5	1.1 E09	'Soft' quench/heat load
2/AC75	21.5	1.2 E10	Quench
3/AC73	23	4.3 E08	'Soft' quench/heat load
4/Z106	23.8	2.3 E09	RF-limited
5/Z107	27.5	3.9 E10	Quench
6/Z98	26	5.1 E09	Quench
7/Z91	22	4.7 E09	'Soft' quench/high heat
			load
8/S33	25	1.8 E10	Resonant frequency left at
			~1300.240 MHz; tuner
			motor malfunction

Module Evaluation

Following completion of individual testing and a hiatus to upgrade the cooling water system necessary for sustained 5 HZ and high power operation of the RF system as well as install the waveguide distribution system, the entire module was powered. A vital step in installing the waveguide distribution system was adjusting the Variable Tap Offs (VTO's) which were set to match the outputs of a pair of adjacent cavities. Since initial powering additional checks on signal calibration have been made and are estimated to have an accuracy of 5% or better. With these final calibrations the maximum gradients as documented in table 2 and figure 3 were obtained. The values measured at DESY and CM-1 vary on average by ~20%. A combination of factors are suspected including calibration accuracy, venting and pump-down technique during assembly at Fermilab, as well as other factors yet to be determined. Typical operating conditions are:

- 5 Hz repetition rate
- 700 µs fill time
- 500 µs flattop
- 2 Kelvin / 25 Torr temperature
- 3.9 MW peak Klystron power.

The peak gradient was determined by evaluating the operability of the cavity measured $-Q_L$ no lower than 10% of the 3.0E6 value each cavity was set to, cryogenic

stability, and hard quench limit as appropriate. LLRF closed loop operation has been demonstrated.

REMAINING WORK

Recently LLRF closed loop operation has been demonstrated. Much work remains before testing is consider complete. Some tasks to complete and additional tests under consideration include:

- Demonstration of Lorentz Force Detuning Compensation on the entire module,
- Additional processing to attempt to improve the performance of deficient cavities,
- Possible thermal cycling to improve performance/uncover additional field emitters,
- Re-adjustment of VTO's to better match cavity pair outputs.

Study time is also envisioned for high Q_L measurements related to Project X and LLRF and LFDC data gathering in support of ILC 9 mA studies.



Figure 3: Comparison of peak Cavity gradients as measured at DESY's 'Chechia' horizontal test stand (cyan) and CM-1 at Fermilab (red).

LESSONS LEARNED

Experience with learning to operate CM-1 in recent months is proving valuable in anticipation of bringing CM-2 and other cryomodules destined for this facility on line in the near future as well as in preparation for possible future SRF facilities such as Project-X. Already the suite of instrumentation has been reviewed and modified for CM-2 based on CM-1 experience. The sequence of commissioning steps have been modified during the this initial experience and will no doubt lead to faster and more efficient start-up of future modules. In light of the tuner motor issues with Cavity #8, the motor controller circuitry was reviewed, modified, and a more robust and fail safe system is now realized.

The user interface system is also under regular updating as more experience is gained.



Figure 4: Low Level RF display of Cavity Amplitudes (MV/m) with all cavities powered simultaneously and VTO's adjusted for matched power to adjacent cavity pairs.

SUMMARY

Since November 2010 Cryomodule 1 has been operating at 2 Kelvin. After evaluating each of the eight cavities while individually powered, the entire module has recently been powered and peak operation determined as shown in Figure 4. Several more weeks of measurements are planned before the module is warmed up, removed and replaced with Cryomodule 2 now under assembly at Fermilab.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The achievements to date would not have been possible without the hard work and effort of many people in Fermilab's Technical and Accelerator Divisions. Shared expertise and contributions of hardware etc. from collaborators from many institutions around the world especially DESY, INFN, Milano, and SLAC are noted and appreciated.

REFERENCES

- J. Leibfritz, "Superconducting RF Cryomodule Production and Testing at Fermilab," LINAC201, Tsukuba, TUP081, p. 599 (2010); http://www.JACoW.org.
- [2] M. Church and J. Leibfritz, "Status and Plans for an SRF Accelerator Test Facility at Fermilab," this conference, MOPO006.
- [3] D. Kostin, DESY, private communication.