SUCCESSFUL CRABBING OF PROTON BEAMS

R. Calaga, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland
on behalf of HL-LHC WP4 & Collaborations

Abstract

Many future particle colliders require beam crabbing to recover the geometric luminosity loss from the non-zero crossing angle at the interaction point. A first demonstration experiment of crabbing with hadron beams was successfully carried out with high energy protons. This breakthrough result is fundamental to achieve the physics goals of the high luminosity LHC upgrade project (HL-LHC) and the future circular collider (FCC). The expected peak luminosity gain (related to collision rate) is 65% for HL-LHC, and even greater for the FCC. Novel beam physics experiments with proton beams in CERN's Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS) were performed to demonstrate several critical aspects for the operation of crab cavities in the future HL-LHC including transparency with a pair of cavities, a full characterisation of the cavity impedance with high beam currents and controlled emittance growth from crab cavity induced RF noise.

INTRODUCTION

In the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and most future colliders such as FCC [1] and EIC [2] , the bunch spacing, magnetic optics and physical constraints to confine the collisions only at the interaction point (IP) require a configuration with beam crossing (or crossing angle) to physically separate the beams immediately before and after the collisions. Particle bunches in colliders are generally significantly longer than their transverse width at the IP. After the LHC luminosity upgrade (HL-LHC) [3], the bunches are 4 orders of magnitude longer than their transverse size. This large aspect ratio combined with the non-zero crossing angle reduces the overlap between the colliding bunches, and hence reduces the number of collisions or, equivalently, the luminosity [4]. The luminosity reduction factor for Gaussian bunches is given by,

$$R_\phi = (1 + \phi^2)^{-1/2}, \qquad (1)$$

where $\phi = \theta \sigma_z / 2\sigma_x$ is the Piwinski angle, θ is the crossing angle and σ_z / σ_x is the ratio of longitudinal and transverse size of the bunches [5]. For the present LHC, the Piwinski angle is $\phi = 0.65$ while for HL-LHC it is $\phi = 2.66$ showing the steep reduction in the peak luminosity of 65% or higher of the total available luminosity.

An elegant scheme to rotate the bunches before and after the collision point to recover the optimum overlap between the colliding bunches is achieved using RF crab cavities. Originally proposed for linear colliders, these RF cavities impart a time-dependent electromagnetic transverse kick to the particle bunches which is referred to as a geometric compensation of luminosity loss for colliders [6]. The kick is transformed to a relative displacement of the head and tail of the bunch at the IP to impose a head-on collision, which

is schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. This concept was later extended to circular colliders [7].

Figure 1: Bunches colliding with a crossing angle without crab crossing (left); with the crab crossing (right).

In circular colliders, a local crab compensation scheme introduces a localized perturbation upstream of the concerned IP and compensates for it downstream, such that through the rest of the ring the bunches remain unperturbed. A full crab compensation in HL-LHC requires a kick voltage of up to 9 MV per beam per side of each collision point [3, 8]. In order to sustain such high surface electromagnetic fields in continuous wave (CW), superconducting RF cavities are essential. The first operational crab cavities used superconducting technology at a 508.9 MHz in the KEKB $e^+ - e^-$ collider at KEK in Japan [9]. The frequency choice is primarily driven by the length of the proton bunches. The finite RF curvature due to the frequency choice represents an additional reduction factor in the luminosity. For the specific case of HL-LHC, it was shown that a frequency of 400 MHz and for a wide range of transverse beam sizes at the IP, this reduction factor is close to unity [10]. Very tight transverse space constraints in the HL-LHC triggered the development of novel and compact RF deflecting structures.

Crab cavities are in the baseline of future collider projects. However, crab cavities were never operated with hadron beams and the crab manipulation had never been demonstrated on hadron bunches. Key beam physics questions must therefore be investigated. Proton bunches are typically much longer than electron bunches leading to lower frequencies and complex bunch shapes. Synchrotron radiation damping is significantly weaker for hadrons and emittance [11] growth due to RF noise and wakefields may limit the luminosity gain. In this paper we present the first experimental demonstration of a crab cavity with a hadron beam, performed in the SPS at CERN to analyse these effects. Several key beam dynamics aspects of proton beams in the presence of crab cavities are addressed opening the door to transverse crabbing of proton beams in most future accelerators. A compact crab cavity geometry, which is four times smaller than the KEK-B cavity scaled to the same frequency, "Double Quarter Wave" (DQW), was also demonstrated [12–14]. The cavity and its electromagnetic fields is shown in Fig. 2. Such transversely compact cavities reaching very high voltages are the enabling technology to implement crab crossing in the HL-LHC and future colliders.

Figure 2: Cross section views of the vertical DQW cavity and coupler design used for the beam tests in the SPS.

Two superconducting prototype DQW-type crab cavities manufactured at CERN underwent RF tests in a super-fluid helium bath at a temperature of 2 K. These first cavity tests demonstrated a maximum transverse-kick voltage exceeding 5 MV, surpassing the nominal operational voltage of 3.4 MV [15].

FIRST DEMONSTRATION OF CRAB CAVITIES IN THE SPS

These two identical DQW cavities were inserted into a specially designed cryostat under ultra high vacuum to reduce the cryogenic heat load and also to shield them from stray magnetic fields [16, 17]. The position of each cavity inside the cryomodule is measured by an optical system based on Frequency Scanning Interferometry (FSI) system [18]. From FSI measurements, the mechanical centers of the two cavities were found to be displaced with respect to each other by less than 200 μm compared to the specification of 500 μm. A "slow" mechanical tuning system is used to control the cavity frequency to be synchronous to a harmonic of the beam revolution frequency [19, 20].

During 2018, a total of 7 sessions of the SPS accelerator time, known as machine developments (MDs), each 10 hours long were dedicated to measurements with the crab cavities. The SPS operates with a repeating master cycle with embedded sub-cycles to provide beams to different users including crab cavity MDs. At the beginning of each cycle, the RF frequency of the accelerating cavities in the SPS operating at 200 MHz must become synchronised with the crab cavity frequency operating at 400 MHz. The two RF systems are physically separated in the SPS ring by approximately 3 km. Due to the large bandwidth of the accelerating RF system of the SPS, a fixed crab cavity frequency is used as a master and sent to the accelerating cavities' control system via a phase compensated fiber optical link to re-synchronize the beam. The crab cavities' voltage was then ramped up to the operating level once this synchronisation is completed.

Shortly after the first injection of protons, the crab cavity – SPS frequency synchronisation was operational and the first static crabbing of a proton beam could be measured at a transverse voltage of 1 MV. To precisely measure the

crabbing signal, a static orbit offset is removed from a reference signal acquired before the RF synchronisation becomes active [21]. The equivalent crab cavity voltage measured by the Head-Tail (HT) monitor was calculated from the focusing configuration of the SPS magnetic elements (also known as magnetic optics) and the measured intra-bunch offsets. A comparison of the intra-bunch transverse displacement of a single bunch is seen in Fig. 3, which shows the particle density for several cases; crab cavities switched off (left) and synchronous crabbing coming from two crab cavities in phase (center), demonstrating clearly the transverse (y) - longitudinal (t) correlation.


Figure 3: Intra-bunch motion from three different cases measured with the HT monitor. Left: Crab cavities switched off (voltage = 0). Center: Synchronous crabbing with both cavities in phase corresponding to $V_{CC} \approx 2$ MV total voltage ($V_{CC1} = V_{CC2} = 1$ MV). Right: Cavities in counter-phase, corresponding to residual $V_{CC} \approx 60$ kV total voltage.

The use of the crab cavities for HL-LHC also requires that during the injection, energy ramp or operation without crab cavities, the cavities remain transparent to the beam, known as "crabbing off". Since more than one cavity is used, counter-phasing (such that the relative cavity RF phase = $\phi_1 - \phi_2 = \pi$) reduces the effective kick voltage to zero while always keeping accurate control of the cavity field. This scheme is also most effective for beam stability and was successfully demonstrated as shown in Fig. 3 (right). The counter-phasing of two crab cavities was successfully demonstrated during the SPS beam tests. Each cavity was individually powered to $V_1 = V_2 = 1$ MV and set as close to the crabbing phase as possible ($\phi_1 = \phi_2 = 0$). The measured bunch tilt for three different cases is shown in Fig. 3, the left plot shows the beam with both the crab cavities held at zero voltage, cavities in-phase and at $V = 1$ MV in the middle plot, and the right plot showing the cancellation effect between the two cavities when the cavities are counter-phased. This is the first demonstration to make crab cavities invisible to a hadron beam when not in use and an essential step for the operation of crab cavities in colliders such as the HL-LHC. The strong RF curvature in the longitudinal plane where both cavities are in-phase matches exactly to the 400 MHz RF wave with no measurable negative effects on beam quality and lifetime compared to the case with the cavities off. The bunch tilt was measured to be 17 mrad, which is a good match to the expected 400 MHz sinusoidal shape at $V = 1$ MV. The crabbing was cancelled between

two cavities to the level of approximately 60 kV, which is the limit of the tilt measurement in the HT monitor.

The longitudinal impedance of the operating mode of these cavities vanishes on axis, i.e. there is no beam loading for a centered beam; the RF generator does not exchange energy with the beam [22]. For a beam circulating at an offset Δx, the beam-induced voltage is proportional to the offset and average beam current. Therefore, the electrical center was inferred by scanning the beam in the transverse plane and measuring the zero-crossing of the induced voltage. First measurements were carried out in the vertical plane for the DQW cavities with 2 batches of 24 bunches spaced by 25 ns and a gap space of 225 ns, like in the LHC, with a bunch intensity of 1×10^{11} p/b. Figure 4 shows that the electrical centers are well within the specified tolerance and close to the theoretical values [23]. This was independently confirmed by the FSI survey measurements.


Figure 4: Beam loading measurements as a function of beam offset for Cavity 1 compared to expected values. The electrical center is similar for Cavity 2 and within the specified tolerance of $\leq 500\,\mu$m [3].

In the HL-LHC, a single frequency reference generated at the RF controls of the accelerating cavities will be sent over phase-compensated links to the respective crab cavities at IP_1 and IP_5 to synchronize the crab cavities with the beam. An amplitude jitter in the crab cavity voltage introduces a residual crossing angle at the IP and a phase jitter in the cavity voltage results in a transverse offset at the IP. The impact of RF noise on emittance and luminosity was experimentally studied with e^+e^- beams in Super KEKB [24].

The emittance growth induced by RF noise is of particular concern with proton beams, which have very low synchrotron radiation damping and long physics fills. For example, first performance estimates in HL-LHC with realistic crab cavity amplitude and phase noise yield about a 2% luminosity loss [25]. The amplitude and phase control must be achieved also during filling and energy ramping with small (or zero) field in the cavities in the presence of beam loading. Smooth transition between no-crabbing and crabbing must be realized prior to collisions, as demonstrated during the transparency test.

The 270 GeV cycle in the SPS was used to study the effect on the emittance growth and predict the requirements on the RF feedback for amplitude and phase noise. At 270 GeV, the beam in the SPS can be maintained for extended periods (several hours) with proton bunches very close to the bunches that will be injected into the HL-LHC. A single low intensity proton bunch is used to minimize all other mechanisms of emittance growth in the SPS with a residual growth of approximately $5\,\mu$m h^{-1} [26]. Controlled amplitude and phase noise of up to 10 kHz was injected into the RF feedback to overlap with the betatron sideband at 7.74 kHz. Emittance measurements using the SPS wire scanners [27] were made with varying noise levels and compared to predictions [28] as shown in Fig. 5. The relative emittance growth with an injected phase noise of -110 dBc/Hz was measured to be 1.75 μm per hour. The measured amplitude noise is approximately 10 dB below the phase noise at the betatron side-band.


Figure 5: Emittance growth measurements as a function of single side-band (SSB) phase noise injected into the cavity feedback on a logarithmic scale.

The emittance growth scaling with noise level increases similar to the theoretical estimates while the measured growth rate is consistently a factor of 2-3 lower than the predicted values. The agreement of the scaling with theoretical estimates allows to put an upper bound of approximately -143 dBc/Hz at the betatron sideband on the required RF phase noise specification for HL-LHC. Further experiments will be conducted to investigate the sensitivity of the emittance growth to the bunch length, the longitudinal distribution of the bunch, crab cavity voltage, impedances and the impact of the phase offset between the crab cavities and the accelerating system to understand the discrepancy. These measurements allow us to put an upper bound on the required RF phase noise specification for HL-LHC which could be relaxed by approximately 10 db with respect to the initial theoretical estimates.

At resonance, the large impedance of the fundamental deflecting (dipole) mode is cancelled between the positive and negative side-band frequencies, which are symmetric around the main RF frequency, ω_{RF}. The active feedback

will reduce the impedance and hence the growth rates by a large factor.

For Higher Order Modes (HOMs) in HL-LHC, the cavities equipped with HOM couplers were carefully designed to keep the impedance within tight tolerances, and the system remains close to the limits [3, 29–31]. As such the SPS test aimed to verify that the impedance was in good agreement with the simulated values. The DQW cavity uses three on-cell HOM couplers mounted in the vertical plane and a mushroom-shaped antenna on the cavity beam pipe to meet the impedance specifications [13, 14].

Dedicated measurements of the HOMs were carried out in the SPS for different beam parameters and bunch filling schemes. Figure 6 shows the measured power as a function of frequency over the broad range of 0.5-2.0 GHz where the upper limit is chosen at the beam pipe cut-off frequency. In the SPS, the longitudinal line density of the bunch is best represented by a binomial distribution. The fitted distribution of the longitudinal bunch profile measurements yield the exponent term $\mu = 1.5$ and a full width at half maximum (FWHM) bunch length of 1.06 ns. Using these parameters, a good overall agreement between the measured HOM spectrum and simulation was observed over this large frequency range.


Figure 6: Measured HOM power vs. frequency, comparing measured data (blue) and simulated values (red).

Some discrepancies in the measured amplitude peaks could arise due to the lack of the exact distribution of the power at each discrete frequency through the three HOM couplers on the DQW cavity. In simulations the power is assumed to be equally distributed. The large discrepancy at 1800 MHz is caused due to a mismatched termination on the field antenna in presence of a RF filter needed for the fundamental mode signal. The highest contributor to the HOM power is the longitudinal mode at 960 MHz. The majority of this power ($> 98\%$) couples to the top HOM coupler and remains below the 1 kW threshold for the HL-LHC parameters (see Table 1). This mode is close to a node of the binomial distribution in the frequency domain. Therefore, the calculated spectral power is sensitive to the exact frequency value and the longitudinal bunch profile. A stochastic study of the calculated HOM power for both binomial and Gaussian dis-

tributions using measured cavity impedance are in all cases within the threshold of 1 kW except for a worst case scenario being 8% over the threshold, which is acceptable. For the transverse plane, the power induced in the lowest frequency mode at 748 MHz was measured as a function of the beam offset. The measured power with beam offset was identical to the simulated results. These detailed impedance measurements are analyzed with proposals for improvements of the HOM damping for HL-LHC [32].

Table 1: Relevant RF and Beam Parameters for Crab Cavities in the HL-LHC and SPS Tests

Quantity	Unit	HL-LHC	SPS-Tests
Beam energy	GeV	7000	26-270
Frequency	MHz	400.79	400[.528-.787]
Rev. frequency	kHz	11	43.3
No. of bunches		2760	288
Bunch length ($4\,\sigma$)	ns	1.0-1.2	1.8-2.6
Max cavity radius	mm	≤ 145	N.A.
Kick voltage	MV	3.4	1.0 (in MDs)
$R/Q_\perp$ (linac)	Ω	430	430
P_{dyn} at 2 K, 3.4 MV	W	≤ 5	≤ 5
Q_{ext}, Coupler		5×10^5	5×10^5
RF power (CW)	kW	40	40
LLRF loop delay	μs	≈ 1	≈ 1
Cavity detuning	kHz	≈ 1.0	≈ 4.0

Due to the compact nature of the crab cavity design, a strong non-linear component of deflecting field (skew sextupole) is expected which could have a negative impact on the beam dynamics of the HL-LHC. During the beam-based experimental measurements, the skew sextupolar component was measured to be within an acceptable range for the HL-LHC [33, 34].

CONCLUSION

In this paper we have shown, for the first time, the crabbing action on hadron beams in the SPS superconducting RF test stand at CERN with a transverse voltage of 1 MV per cavity. The transverse beam manipulation was measured with a HT monitor. It was possible to drive both cavities in counter-phase to demonstrate that the cavities can be made transparent to the beam. The induced transverse emittance growth from RF noise was shown to be a factor of 2 to 3 lower than predictions. The longitudinal impedance of the crab cavities was measured and found to agree well with simulations. The bunch tilt was measured to be the expected 17 mrad. The non-linear coupling between the transverse planes resulting from the skew nature of the crab-cavity was analyzed leading to some course bounds on the skew sextupole component. Further beam measurements are described in [32, 33, 35] with additional MDs planned during the next operational period of 2021-24. The major accomplishments made in this operation of a crab cavity in a hadron beam are a stepping stone towards the successful use of crab cavities for future colliders as the HL-LHC and opens the door to an era of high luminosity hadron beam physics.

MC1: Circular and Linear Colliders

A01 Hadron Colliders

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

[1] A. Abada *et al.*, "FCC-hh: the hadron collider: future circular collider conceptual design report", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, Rep. CERN-ACC-2018-0058, 2018.

[2] M. Carlà, J. Beebe-Wang, *et al.*, "Electron ion collider conceptual design report", BNL, Upton, NY, USA, Rep. EIC-CDR, 2021.

[3] B. Alonso, "High-luminosity large hadron collider (HL-LHC): Technical Design Report V. 0.1", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, Rep. CERN-2017-007-M, 2017.

[4] W. Herr and B. Muratori, "Concept of luminosity", in *CAS - CERN Accelerator School: Intermediate Accelerator Physics*, Zeuthen, Germany, Sep. 2003, pp. 361-378. doi:10.5170/CERN-2006-002.361

[5] A. Piwinski, "Limitation of the luminosity by satellite resonances", DESY, Hamburg, Germany, Rep. DESY 77/18, 1977.

[6] R. Palmer, "Energy scaling, crab crossing and the pair problem, in *Proc. 4th DPF Summer Study on High-energy Physics 1990*, Snowmass, CO, USA, Jun.-Jul. 1988, pp. 613–619.

[7] K. Oide and K. Yokoya, "Beam-beam collision scheme for storage-ring colliders", *Phys. Rev. A*, vol. 40, p. 315, 1989. doi:10.1103/PhysRevA.40.315

[8] R. Calaga, "Crab cavities for the high-luminosity LHC", in *Proc. SRF'17*, Lanzhou, China, Jul. 2017, pp. 695–699. doi:10.18429/JACoW-SRF2017-THXA03

[9] K. Hosoyama *et al.*, "Superconducting crab cavity for KEKB", in *Proc. APAC'98*, Tsukuba, Japan, Mar. 1998, pp. 828–831.

[10] Y. P. Sun *et al.*, "Beam dynamics aspects of crab cavities in the CERN Large Hadron Collider", *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams*, vol. 12, p. 101002, 2009. doi:10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.12.101002

[11] A. Chao and M. Tigner, *Handbook of Accelerator Physics and Engineering*, Singapore: World Scientific, 1999.

[12] R. Calaga *et al.*, "Crab cavities", in *Workshop on LHC Performance (Chamonix'10)*, Chamonix, France, Jan. 2010, pp. 334–341.

[13] S. Verdu-Andres *et al.*, "Design and vertical tests of double-quarter wave cavity prototypes for the high-luminosity LHC crab cavity system", *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams*, vol. 21, p. 082002, 2018. doi:10.1103/PhysRevAccelBeams.21.082002

[14] B. Xiao *et al.*, "Design, prototyping, and testing of a compact superconducting double-quarter wave crab cavity", *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams*, vol. 18, p. 041004, 2015. doi:10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.18.041004

[15] A. Castilla *et al.*, "First RF performance results for the DQW crab cavities to be tested in the CERN SPS", in *Proc. 8th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'17)*, Copenhagen, Denmark, May 2017, pp. 1077–1080. doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2017-MOPVA095

[16] C. Zanoni *et al.*, "Design of Dressed Crab Cavities for the HL-LHC Upgrade", in *Proc. 17th Int. Conf. RF Superconductivity (SRF'15)*, Whistler, Canada, Sep. 2015, pp. 1284–1288. doi:10.18429/JACoW-SRF2015-THPB070

[17] C. Zanoni *et al.*, "The crab cavities cryomodule for SPS test", *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 874, p. 012092, 2017. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/874/1/012092

[18] M. Sosin, T. Dijoud, H. Mainaud Durand, and V. Rude, "Position monitoring system for HL-LHC Crab Cavities", in *Proc. 7th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'16)*, Busan, Korea, May 2016, pp. 2704–2706. doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2016-WEPOR018

[19] K. Artoos *et al.*, "Development of SRF cavity tuners for CERN", in *Proc. 17th Int. Conf. RF Superconductivity (SRF'15)*, Whistler, Canada, Sep. 2015, pp. 1247–1251. doi:10.18429/JACoW-SRF2015-THPB060

[20] K. Artoos *et al.*, "Status of the HL-LHC crab cavity tuner", in *Proc. 19th Int. Conf. RF Superconductivity (SRF'19)*, Dresden, Germany, Jun.-Jul. 2019, pp. 646–651. doi:10.18429/JACoW-SRF2019-TUP081

[21] L. R. Carver *et al.*, "First machine developments result with HL-LHC crab cavities in the SPS", in *Proc. 10th Int. Particle Accelerator Conf. (IPAC'19)*, Melbourne, Australia, May 2019, pp. 338–341. doi:10.18429/JACoW-IPAC2019-MOPGW094

[22] J. Tuckmantel, "Cavity beam transmitter interaction formula collection with derivation", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, Rep. CERN-ATS-Note-2011-002-TECH, Jan. 2011.

[23] E. Yamakawa *et al.*, "Beam loading study for HL-LHC and measurements in SPS crab cavities", STFC Internal Report-2018, unpublished.

[24] K. Ohmi *et al.*, "Response of colliding beam-beam system to harmonic excitation due to crab-cavity RF phase modulation", *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams*, vol. 14, p. 111003, 2011. doi:10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.14.111003

[25] L. Medrano *et al.*, "Assessment of the performance of High Luminosity LHC operational scenarios: integrated luminosity and effective pile-up density", *Can. J. Phys.*, vol. 97, p. 498, 2018. doi:10.1139/cjp-2018-0291

[26] F. Antoniou *et al.*, "Emittance growth in coast in the SPS at CERN", *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1067, p. 022008, 2018. doi:10.1088/1742-6596/1067/2/022008

[27] J. Bosser *et al.*, "Transverse emittance measurement with a rapid wire scanner at the CERN SPS", *Nucl. Instrum. Methods. Phys. Res. A*, vol. 235, pp. 475–480, 1985. doi:10.1016/0168-9002(85)90096-8

[28] P. Baudrenghien and T. Mastoridis, "Transverse emittance growth due to RF noise in the high-luminosity LHC crab cavities", *Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams*, vol. 18, p. 101001, 2015. doi:10.1103/PhysRevSTAB.18.101001

[29] E. Shaposhnikova, "Impedance effects during injection, energy ramp & store", presented at the 4th LHC-CC10 Workshop, CERN, Geneva, Dec. 2010, unpublihed.

[30] A. Burov *et al.*, "Impedance Aspects", presented at the 5th LHC-CC11 Workshop, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, Nov. 2011, unpublished.

[31] N. Biancacci *et al.*, "HL-LHC impedance and stability studies", presented at the 4th Joint HiLumi LHC-LARP Annual Meeting, KEK, Tsukuba, Japan, Nov. 2014, unpublished.

[32] J. Mitchell, "Higher order modes and dampers for the LHC double quarter wave crab cavity", Ph.D. thesis, University of Lancaster, UK, 2019. doi:10.17635/lancaster/thesis/743

[33] M. Carlà, A. Alekou, H. Bartosik, and L.R. Carver, "Beam-based measurement of the skew-sextupolar component of the radio frequency field of a HL-LHC-type crab-cavity", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, Rep. CERN-ACC-NOTE-2020-0024, 2020.

[34] E. Cruz Alaniz, Y. Papaphilippou, and C. P. Welsch, "RF multipoles deliverable", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, CERN-ACC-NOTE-2020-0031, 2020.

[35] A. Alekou *et al.*, "Deliverable 2.7: Analysis of the existing sources of blow-up in the SPS by experiments and estimation/measurement of the effect of the crab cavities in the SPS", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, Rep. CERN-ACC-2020-0001, 2020.