

RESISTANCE DRIVEN BUNCHING MODE OF AN ACCELERATED ION PULSE*

Edward P. Lee

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
University of California
Livermore, CA. 94550

Summary

Amplification of a longitudinal perturbation of an ion pulse in a linear induction accelerator is calculated. The simplified accelerator model consists only of an applied field (E_a), distributed gap impedance per meter (R) and beam-pipe capacity per meter (C). The beam is treated as a cold, one-dimensional fluid. It is found that normal mode frequencies are nearly real, with only a very small damping rate proportional to R. This result is valid for a general current profile and is not restricted to small R. However, the mode structure exhibits spatial amplification from pulse head to tail by the factor $\exp(RCLv_0/2)$, where L is pulse length and v_0 is drift velocity. This factor is very large for typical HIF parameters. An initially small disturbance, when expanded in terms of the normal modes, is found to oscillate with maximum amplitude proportional to the amplification factor. Unlike the analogous problem in a circular machine, linear growth is limited in amplitude by the finite pulse length. But the fact that frequencies are real cannot be taken to indicate the absence of large amplitude distortion.

Introduction

An induction linac driver for HIF involves the transport of an intense ion pulse through several kilometers of accelerator structure; this is long enough that the pulse is strongly coupled to itself via its interaction with the vacuum pipe and acceleration gaps.¹ A longitudinal bunching instability is intrinsic for this system, and unless suppressed by appropriate design it will generate an unacceptably large momentum spread.

The field in the gaps may be considered to act continuously and is programmed to axially confine as well as accelerate the beam. Spontaneous bunching is opposed by the local increase in space charge, and mode growth is not expected from this interaction alone.² The resistive character of the gap impedance is destabilizing, and application of the growth rate formula derived for circular machines gives an exponentiation length of only hundreds of meters for typical

parameters.³ That treatment, however, is not applicable to a finite length pulse in a straight system since the growing wave moves backwards in the pulse and is expected to convert to a decaying forward wave at the pulse tail. Qualitatively, we expect a distorted mode structure, with amplitude which is large in the tail and small in the head. This may be viewed as the result of a balance between resistive drag on the mode peaks and repulsion by the excess space charge in the tail. Momentum spread on the order of 1% may be sufficient for stability, but even this amount may be too large to meet final focusing requirements. In the following we assume that the pulse is cold and that the unperturbed field of the beam is exactly cancelled by the programmed field of the gaps. The one dimension fluid model for the pulse is the same as that of Channell, Sessler and Wurtele (C.S.W.), but the assumed gap impedance is less general than theirs.⁴ This simplification allows for a more complete calculation of mode structure and definite restrictions on system parameters are obtained.

System Model

To avoid confusion about currents all quantities are defined in the laboratory frame. The pulse line density is $n(t,z)$ and it drifts in the +z direction with velocity $v(t,z)$. Since thermal effects are neglected the (non-relativistic) equations of motion are

$$\partial n / \partial t + \partial nv / \partial z = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$\partial v / \partial t + v \partial v / \partial z = qE/M, \quad (2)$$

and the electric field consists of applied and beam induced components:

$$E + E_a - Rqnv - (q/C)\partial n / \partial z = 0. \quad (3)$$

As mentioned, R and C are the continuous representation of the effect of gaps and pipe. The capacity is taken to be that of the co-axial beam of radius a and pipe of radius b:

$$C = 4\pi\epsilon_0 / [2\log(b/a) + 5] \quad (4)$$

For simplicity the unperturbed velocity v_0 is held constant and E_a is just the field required to cancel the field induced by the unperturbed current profile, i.e. the net unperturbed field vanishes.

*Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract number W-7405-ENG-48.

The assumed parameters are (C.S.W.):
 $R=200 \Omega /m$, $b/a=1.5$, $M=200 \text{ Mp}$, $q=2e$, $L=20 \text{ m}$,
 and total ion number $N=10^{15}$. Velocity is
 taken to be the final value $v_o = \beta_o c = .3c$, so
 we have the typical HIF parameters: $T=9.06$
 GeV, $W=1.45 \text{ MJ}$, $I=1.44 \text{ kA}$. In order to reach
 the required power on the pellet ($\sim 100 \text{ TW}$),
 the pulse must be subdivided or compressed by
 a factor of $\times 15$; this would be done
 subsequent to acceleration and is not
 considered here.

It is important to note that there is
 some latitude in the selection of
 parameters. The value $C=.849 \times 10^{-10} \text{ F/m}$ is
 fixed within a factor of two by geometry, and
 v_o is similiarly restricted by the target
 gain requirement. Considerable reduction of
 L is possible, but at increased cost since
 space charge density is thereby increased.
 In principal R can be reduced, however the
 driver efficiency is also reduced. To see
 this we note that in the simplist model of
 the gaps, efficiency is 100% if the impedance
 is matched to the current and accelerating
 gradient, i.e. if

$$R = E_o/I \equiv R_m \quad (5)$$

For the typical value $E = 10^6 \text{ V/m}$ we have R
 $= 694 \Omega /m$ at $I=1.44 \text{ kA}$, and higher values at
 lower I . The assumed value $R=200 \Omega /m$ (which
 is used only at the final current) is
 therefore a moderate mismatch. More
 generally the efficiency (for the simple gap
 model) is

$$\eta = 4(R/R_m)(1+R/R_m)^{-2} \quad (6)$$

with value $\eta = .695$ for the assumed
 parameters.³ Since non-zero R drives the
 bunching mode it may be desirable to consider
 a mismatch as great as $R_m/R=10$, which
 yields $\eta = .331$.

It is very convenient to make use of the
 variable

$$x = z - v_o t \quad (7)$$

which measures distance with respect to the
 pulse tail ($x=0$), with the head at $x=L$.

We use x and t as the independent variables of
 the calculation - this has the appearance of a
 Galilean transformation, but current is still
 defined in the laboratory frame. Eqn (3) yields
 for given profile $n_o(x)$

$$E_a(x) = Rq n_o v_o + (q/C) dn_o/dx \quad (8)$$

Perturbed System

We consider a longitudinal perturbation
 $n = n_o + \delta n$, $v = v_o + \delta v$, $E = \delta E$. Then using the
 variables (x,t) , eqs (1-3) yield

$$\delta \delta n / \delta t = -\delta n_o \delta v / \delta x \quad (9)$$

$$\delta \delta v / \delta t = q \delta E / M \quad (10)$$

$$\delta E = -Rq(v_o \delta n + n_o \delta v) - (q/C) \delta n / \delta x \quad (11)$$

The analysis is simplified by using the
 Lagrangian displacement variable $\xi(t,x)$:

$$\delta v = (\partial \xi / \partial t)_x \quad (12)$$

and this is the point of departure from previous
 work. Eqs (9-11) become

$$\delta n = -\delta n_o \xi / \delta x \quad (13)$$

$$\delta^2 \xi / \delta t^2 = q \delta E / M \quad (14)$$

$$\delta E = Rq(v_o \delta n_o \xi / \delta x - \delta n_o \xi / \delta t) + (q/C) \delta^2 n_o \xi / \delta x^2 \quad (15)$$

Eliminating δn and δE , and grouping dimensional
 factors, we have

$$\frac{1}{v_o^2} \frac{\delta^2 n_o \xi}{\delta t^2} = \frac{\epsilon^2 n_o}{\bar{n}} \left[\frac{1}{r} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{1}{v_o} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) n_o \xi + \frac{\partial^2 r_o \xi}{\partial x^2} \right] \quad (16)$$

Here $\bar{n} = N/L$ is the mean density and we define

$$\epsilon^2 = (q^2 \bar{n} / M v_o^2 C) = 2.24 \times 10^{-5} \quad (17)$$

$$r = (RC v_o)^{-1} = .655 \text{ m} \quad (18)$$

The variable x is compared with lengths L and
 r . Time ($v_o t$) is compared with the system
 length ($\sim 4.5 \text{ km}$), and a natural frequency
 $(\epsilon/2r) = (277 \text{ m})^{-1}$. If values of v_o other than
 $.3c$ are considered, then the product $R v_o / L$
 should be held fixed to realize constant
 fractional mismatch of impedance.

The boundary conditions are $n_o \xi = 0$ at the
 pulse ends. This makes the perturbed potential
 energy finite as $n_o \rightarrow 0$. In the special case of
 flat top $n_o(x)$, $n_c \xi$ must go smoothly to zero at
 the pulse ends even though n_o has a step. If n_o
 goes smoothly to zero at the ends then ξ may be
 finite there.

Mode Structure

Equation (16) is of an inconvenient form for
 analysis because of the first derivative in x .
 This is removed by defining

$$\psi(t,x) = n_o \xi \exp(x\lambda/L) \quad (19)$$

where

$$\lambda = L/2r = LRC v_o/2 = 15.3 \quad (20)$$

We are removing a mode distortion factor (which
 is large for the assumed parameters). Eq. (16)
 gives

$$\frac{1}{v_o^2} \frac{\delta^2 \psi}{\delta t^2} = \frac{\epsilon^2 n_o}{\bar{n}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\psi}{4r^2} - \frac{1}{r v_o} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right) \quad (21)$$

Note that the time derivative in Eq. (21) is small of order ϵ and therefore the last term on the right may usually be neglected; this is done in most similar derivations and is equivalent to dropping the term proportional to δv in Eq. (11). If that term is dropped then Eq. (21) is of self-adjoint form and mode frequency (which is real and positive) can be evaluated for general $n_o(x)$ using a variational technique.

Here we estimate mode frequency for general $n_o(x)$. Let $\psi = g(x) \exp(-i\omega v_o t)$; then eqn (21) yields

$$-\left(\frac{\omega}{\epsilon}\right)^2 g = \frac{n_o}{n} \left[\frac{d^2 g}{dx^2} - \frac{g}{4r^2} + \left(\frac{i\omega}{\epsilon}\right) \frac{\epsilon g}{r} \right] \quad (22)$$

Multiplying all terms by $(Lg^* \bar{n}/n_o)$ and integrating over x we obtain the quadratic in ω

$$A \left(\frac{\omega}{\epsilon}\right)^2 + \frac{iBL\epsilon}{r} \left(\frac{\omega}{\epsilon}\right) \left(\frac{L^2 B}{4r^2} + C\right) = 0, \quad (23)$$

where A , B , and C are the positive quantities

$$A = \int_L \frac{dx}{n_o} |g|^2, \quad B = \int_L dx |g|^2, \quad C = \int_L dx \left| \frac{Ldg}{dx} \right|^2 \quad (24)$$

The eigenfrequencies are

$$\omega = -i \left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{2r}\right) \frac{B}{A} \pm \left[\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2r}\right)^2 \left(\frac{B}{A} - \frac{\epsilon^2 B^2}{A^2}\right) + \left(\frac{\epsilon}{L}\right)^2 \frac{C}{A} \right]^{1/2} \quad (25)$$

For a flat top profile the normalized eigenfunctions are

$$g_m(x) = \sqrt{2L} \sin(m\pi x/L), \quad (26)$$

with m any positive integer. In this case we have

$$A = B = 1/2, \quad C = m^2 \pi^2 / 2. \quad (27)$$

For general $n_o(x)$ we expect A/B to be of order unity and C/A to be of order $(\pi m)^2$, so we have

$$\omega \approx -i \left(\frac{\epsilon^2}{2r}\right) \pm \left[\left(\frac{\epsilon}{2r}\right)^2 (1 - \epsilon^2) + \left(\frac{\epsilon}{L}\right)^2 \pi^2 m^2 \right]^{1/2} \quad (28)$$

For the nominal parameters scale lengths are

$$2r/\epsilon^2 = 58.6 \text{ km}, \quad L/\epsilon = 4.23 \text{ km}, \quad (29)$$

$$2r/\epsilon = .277 \text{ km},$$

and their relative ordering is maintained for lower v_o if $v_o R$ is fixed. We therefore expect frequencies clustered near $(277m)^{-1}$ at low mode number ($m < 10$) and increasing proportional to m for $m > 10$. The damping length (58.6km) is of negligible consequence.

Discussion

The most important feature of the mode structure is the distortion factor [eq. (19)].

An initial perturbation must be expanded in the normal modes g_m :

$$n_o \xi = \exp(-x\lambda/L) \sum A_m g_m(x) \cos(\omega_m v_o t), \quad (30)$$

with coefficients

$$A_m = \int dx g_m(x) n_o \xi(x, t=0) \exp(x\lambda/L). \quad (31)$$

For mode numbers close to that of the initial disturbance A_m contains the factor e^λ . In particular, the flat top profile yields, for a perturbation $n_o \xi(t=0) = A \sin(\ell \pi x/L)$,

$$\frac{A_\ell}{A} = \frac{e^\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi\ell}\right)^2 \right] \quad (32)$$

This amplification factor is nearly 3×10^5 for low mode number (nominal parameters). The result is that the small disturbances due to field errors in the gaps produce very large disturbances in the pulse tail after a drift length of $\sim 300m$. This disturbance is oscillatory with a spectrum of frequencies and eventually converts to a large momentum spread or loss of particles from the pulse ends.

Several possible cures are apparent. First, it is clear that sufficient velocity spread will damp the disturbance. A simple estimate based on a continuous pulse with a Lorentzian velocity distribution gives the requirement $(hwhm)$

$$\frac{\Delta v}{v_o} \geq \epsilon \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{\pi}} = .0104, \quad (33)$$

which is on the borderline of acceptability for final focussing requirements. If spread is used for mode suppression and is initially too low, it seems likely that the system will "overshoot" by a large factor when it generates spread velocity by mode distortion.

A second class of cures consist of the use of feedback or high frequency filtering of δE in the accelerating modules - this is not considered here.

Finally, one may design the system such that the factor $\lambda = RCLv_o/2$ is only of order unity. A possibility would be $L \rightarrow 10m$, $R \rightarrow 25\Omega/m$, $I \rightarrow 2.88 \text{ kA}$. Then $\lambda = .956$, but the efficiency drops to $\eta = .251$ and there is an increased cost for transport.

References

1. A. Faltens and D. Keefe, in Proc. H.I.F. Workshop, Berkeley, (Oct. 1979), ed. by W.B. Herrmannsfeldt, LBL-10301 and SLAC-2575, p.157.
2. D. Neuffer, *ibid*, p.246.
3. D. Neuffer, *ibid*, p.247.
4. D. Channell, A. Sessler, and J. Wurtele, LBL-12107 (Feb. 1981).
5. A. Faltens, in Proc. H.I.F. Workshop, Berkeley, (Oct. 1979), ed. by W.B. Herrmannsfeldt, LBL-10301 and SLAC-2575, p. 184.