# THE POSSIBILITY OF MULTIPACTOR DISCHARGE IN COUPLING CELLS OF COUPLED CELLS ACCELERATING STRUCTURES

V.V. Paramonov, S.G. Tarasov Institute for Nuclear Research, 117312, Moscow, Russia

### Abstract

The Multipactor Discharge (MD) takes place at low values of electric rf field. Operating values of the field in accelerating cells are usually match more higher than the upper threshold of the discharge and this phenomenon take place during structure conditioning. In operating regime, during rf pulse, weak electric field, linearly decreasing from the point of the rf drive to the end of the cavity, exists in coupling cells to provide rf power flux along the cavity. Depending on cavity operating regime and coupling cells parameters, the value of this field can be in limits of the discharge. In this paper parameters of coupling cells for Side Coupled (SCS), On-axis Coupled (OCS) and Annular Coupled (ACS) structures are considered and estimations for electric field are given. Results of numerical simulations of the discharge in coupling cells are presented.

# **1** INTRODUCTION



Figure 1: A sketch of SCS, OCS and ACS structures

The effect of the MD in accelerating cells of Coupled Cells (CCL) structures is straightforward, because parameters of accelerating cells directly define parameters of the cavity and the discharge is equivalent to additional parasitic conductivity. In operating regime coupling cells in first order do not excite, the MD in coupling cells may be simulated as additional rf losses, leading to reduction of quality factor for coupling cell and has not such evident sequences on operating parameters. To decrease dimensions of coupling cells, one need provide capacitive load by providing 'noses' in the region of strong electric field of the coupling mode. As the result, coupling cells have parallel plates, like capacitor (Fig.1). Such shape is favourable for MD excitation.

The MD in coupling cells of CCL structures was not described in papers directly, just indications that OCS was rejected for application in TRISPAL project due to MD possibility [1] or private communications [2]. The purpose of this paper is to discuss the possibility of most popular first order two-surface MD in coupling cells, to compare different types of coupling cells and specify operating parameters of the structure, which can provide conditions for MD in coupling cells.

#### 2 STEADY-STATE CONDITIONS

# 2.1 The energy stored in coupling cells

If the steady-state operating regime of the CCL structure is specified, one can easy find the energy  $W_a$  of electric field stored in accelerating cell:

$$W_a = \frac{c\beta_p Q_a (E_0 T)^2}{4\pi f_0^2 Z_e},$$
 (1)

where  $Q_a$  is quality factor for accelerating cells,  $Z_e$  - effective shunt impedance,  $f_0$  - operating frequency,  $\beta_p$  - relative velocity of particles, c - velocity of light,  $E_0$  - average electric field along axis, T -transit time factor. To find stored energy in coupling cells, one can use conclusions both lumped circuit [3] and electrodynamical [4] approaches, which coincide for coupling cells. The energy stored in coupling cells  $W_c$  is

$$W_c = W_a \alpha^2 (n - N)^2, \tag{2}$$

where  $\alpha$  is attenuation constant per period, n - number of the coupling cell from the point of rf input, N - number of the structure periods from the point of rf input to the end of the cavity. If the beam loading is not strong  $I_b Z_e cos^2 \varphi_s \leq E_0 T$ , attenuation constant  $\alpha$  may be estimated as:

$$\alpha \approx \left(1 + \frac{I_b Z_e \cos\varphi_s}{E_0 T}\right) \frac{2}{k_c Q_a} \tag{3}$$

where  $k_c$  is the coupling coefficient of the CCL structure,  $\varphi_s$  - synhronous phase. For strong beam loading attenuation should be founded as the result of solution for the power balance equation [4]. Combining (1-3), one can define  $W_c$  for any operating regime given. For proton linacs at typical values  $f_0=805$  MHz,  $\beta_p=0.5$ ,  $Q_a=20000$ ,  $k_c=5\%$ ,  $Z_e=35$  MOhm/m, supposing  $I_b=0$  and N=40 (total tank has 80 accelerating cells and rf input is in the middle of the tank) dependencies of  $W_c$  on the accelerating gradient  $E_0T$  and n are plotted in Fig.2. As one see,  $W_c$  may varies in wide range, depending on  $E_0T$  and N values.

#### 2.2 Estimations for MD threshold

In typical shape of coupling cells there are parallel plates (Fig.1) with electric field of coupling mode between them. Because the gap length s between plates is small, we can suppose uniform electric field between plates, considering this region as a capacitor. Simple estimations for the MD range may be obtained by using the model of single electron sheet ([5],[6] and relates references). The resonant condition for electric field strength  $E_r$  in coupling cells for two electrode MD is:

$$E_r = \frac{4\pi^2 f_0^2 sG}{(e/m)},$$
 (4)

$$G = \left[ \left(\frac{k_v + 1}{k_v - 1}\right) (2n_p - 1)\pi \cos\phi_e + 2\sin\phi_e \right]^{-1}, \quad (5)$$

where e/m is the ratio of electron charge and mass,  $n_p$  order of MD (number of rf halfperiods needed for electron to pass distance s,  $k_v$  is assumed constant ratio of electron emission to impact velocities and  $\phi_e$  is secondary emission electron starting phase. Below we consider mostly first order  $n_p = 1$  MD. For phase stable MD the phase of electron impact with second plate  $\phi_i$  should be  $\phi_i \approx \phi_e + n_p \pi$  with the condition of stability  $-1 \ge d\phi_i / \ge 1$  [6]. The minimum value of  $E_r$  depends on  $G(k_v, \phi_e)$  and  $G_{min} = 0.27$  $(k_v=0)$ ,  $G_{min}=0.23$   $(k_v=0.1)$ ,  $G_{min}=0.21$   $(k_v=0.25)$ . The assumption  $k_v = const$  has no physical basement, but due to week dependence, results obtained are in good agreement with experiments [7] and direct numerical MD simulation [6] and assumption  $k_v=0$  is a good approximation.

From (4) one can see, that rf resonant rf voltage for MD excitation  $V_r = E_r s$  does not depend on frequency  $f_0$  if scaling is applied for dimensions of coupling cell.

Another important value for MD exitation is the energy of impact electrons  $W_i$  (supposing nonrelativistic electrons):

$$W_{i} = 8\pi^{2}m(\frac{f_{0}sGcos\phi_{e}}{1-k_{v}})^{2}$$
(6)

For high order  $(n_p=2,3,4..)$  MD estimations for resonant rf voltage  $V_{rn_p}$  and impact energy  $W_{in_p}$  may be obtained



Figure 2: The energy stored in coupling cells, mj

using G-factor, if equivalent values for  $n_p=1$  discharge are known ( $V_{rn_p} \approx V_{r1}/n_p$ ,  $W_{in_p} \approx W_{i1}/n_p^2$ ).

# 2.3 Coupling cells parameters

To compare parameters of different cells, three types of structures - SCS, ACS and OCS (Fig.1) were calculated at the same frequency 805 MHz for  $0.45 \le \beta_p \le 0.8$ . By using powerful 3D code Mafia, one can calculate field distribution for coupling mode and define simply, what value of electric field  $E_c$  or rf voltage  $V_c$  between plates in coupling cell corresponds to stored energy  $W_c$ . To describe the this parameters for coupling cell, the best way is to introduce "equivalent capacitance"  $C_e$ :

$$C_e = \frac{2W_c}{V_c^2} \tag{7}$$

If this  $C_e$  value is known at the given frequency, for another value it can be estimated from scaling relation  $C_e \sim f^{-1}$ . For SCS geometry is the same as for [8], ACS geometry was scaled from [9]. For OCS structure the length of coupling cells was 0.1 from the length of accelerating one. Last OCS example for  $\beta_p=1$ ,  $f_0=2797$  MHz is from reference [10]. For OCS structure  $V_c$  is calculated at radius of beam aperture. If dimensions of coupling are specified, one can estimate  $f_0s$  value, which is of main importance for calculation of  $V_r$  (4) and estimate energy stored in coupling cell  $W_{c1} = C_e V_r^2/2$  and impact energy  $W_{i1}$  (6) which correspond to condition of  $n_p=1$  stable ( $0 \le \phi_e \le 33^o$  [6]) discharge. Results are summarised in Table 1,  $W_c$  and  $W_i$ are estimated for  $n_p=1$  MD.

Table 1: Parameters of coupling cells

CCL	$\beta_p$	$f_0s$	$C_e$	$W_{c1}$	$W_{i1}$
			pF	mj	keV
SCS	0.45	705	4.42	$0.02 \div 0.03$	1.1÷2.3
	0.50	834	3.87	$0.03 \div 0.05$	1.6÷3.2
	0.70	1545	2.34	$0.24 \div 0.34$	5.5÷11
ACS	0.52	1503	21.9	$2.03 \div 2.85$	5.2÷10
	0.78	2177	33.7	13.7÷19.3	11÷22
OCS	0.50	563	14.0	$0.02 \div 0.04$	0.7÷1.5
	0.70	837	12.4	0.11÷0.16	1.6÷3.2
	1.0	1200	3.39	$0.12 \div 0.18$	3.3÷6.6

### **3 DISCUSSION**

#### 3.1 Conditions of discharge

To have stable discharge, one need fulfil three conditions: a) - space stability;

b) - phase stability;

c) - impact electron energy should be in range where secondary emission coefficient  $\sigma_e \ge 1.0$ . For copper this range is from  $\approx 200 \text{ eV}$  to  $\approx 2 \text{ keV}$ , depending on surface quality. As one see from Table 1, conditions b) and c) are valid for low  $\beta_p$  SCS and OCS structures. Not so big energy 0.02 mj  $\leq W_{c1} \leq 0.04$  mj should be stored in coupling cells to provide conditions for  $n_p=1$  discharge. This range is shadowed at Fig.2 and one can see these values realistic for proton linacs. In accelerating cavity several coupling cells may be in range of possible discharge. For ACS structure impact electron energy is too big even for low  $\beta_p$  (due to big  $f_0s$  value) to provide conditions for  $n_p=1$  discharge. Only high order MD  $n_p=2,3,4$  are possible in ACS structure at low  $\beta_p$ .

#### 3.2 Numerical simulations

Special code was written for direct tracking of electrons in calculated fields of coupling cells. Main purpose of this tracking was to check space stability of discharge. Results of simulations confirm good analytical estimations for  $n_p=1,2$  MD at low  $\beta_p$  for all structures. For SCS and ACS structures at low  $\beta_p$  electric field is practically constant both in longitudinal and in transverse directions in the space between noses. It means, that MD (if exists) takes practically all space between noses, but MD range in respect of  $W_c$  is limited. With increasing of  $\beta_p$  distance s rises, becomes comparable with transversal dimensions of noses. For ACS and SCS structures the approximation of plane capacitor becomes not acceptable. Direct numerical simulation shows no stable electron trajectories in the space  $\approx 1.5s$  from outer side of the nose. It is sequence of nonuniform electric field in this region. It is especially important for ACS structure because nose is open both from top and bottom sides. For  $\beta_p \ge 0.7$  results show no stable trajectories for ACS coupling cells and reduced region (near centre of nose) of space stability  $n_p=1$  MD in SCS coupling cells. Condition of the space stability for high order MD with respect field homogeneity are more rigid than for  $n_p=1$  MD.

For OCS coupling cell is narrow cylindrical cavity. Electric field varies as Bessel  $J_0$  function along radius. Nonuniform fields are only near beam aperture and coupling slots. Results of simulation show, that if MD exists at  $W_{c1}$  given, it takes a part of coupling cell and for  $W_{c11} \ge W_{c1}$  it may displays to another part of the cell with lower electric field. All time there are regions with space stability of discharge. If for  $\beta_p = 1$  the impact energy  $W_i$  for  $n_p = 1$  MD is too big, conditions for high order MD may be fulfilled.

# 3.3 Effect of MD in coupling cells

It is known [6], [11], that the discharge current is limited by space charge effect and for maximum value of current density for  $n_p=1$  MD is:

$$j_{1\ max} \simeq \frac{\epsilon_0 m f_0^3 s}{e}.\tag{8}$$

For typical values  $f_0=805$  MHz, s=1 cm,  $j_{1\ max}=2500$ A/ $m^2$ . For high order discharge  $n_p=2,3,4..$  $j_{n_p\ max}$  value is  $3(n_p+3)$  times less. Suppose  $n_p=1$ discharge exists in one SCS coupling cell (nose radius is  $r_n=3.0437$  cm [8]). The total MD current  $I_{MD}$  is estimated as  $I_{MD} = \pi r_n^2 j_{1 max} \sim 7$  A. With impact energy  $W_{i1} \approx 1$  keV it leads to additional rf power dissipation  $P_{rf} = I_{MD}W_{i1}/e \sim 7$  kW (upper estimation). It is not so big value to see drastic disappearance of rf power from rf generator with total power in several MW. But quality factor  $Q_l$  for this cells decreases to value  $Q_l = 2\pi f_0 W_{c1}/P_{rf} \sim 20$ . Such low Q cell do not keep amplitude and phase stability for operating  $\pi/2$  mode so good as high Q one.

### 4 SUMMARY

Results of analytic estimations and numerical simulations show that first order multipactor discharge of is possible in SCS and OCS coupling cells for low  $\beta_p \approx 0.4$ . In ACS only high order discharge is possible. for low  $\beta_p \approx 0.4$ . With  $\beta_p$  increasing the gap between cell noses increases too, leading to nonuniform field between noses, reducing possible space for stable MD in SCS and eliminating it in ACS structure.

The best way to decrease MD possibility is transformation of parallel plates into conical surface.

For coupling cells of OCS structure at  $\beta_p=1$  condition for higher modes of discharge may be fulfilled.

### **5** ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Prof. L.V. Kravchuk, Prof. Y. Yamazaki, Dr. G.V. Romanov for valuable discussions and help for this investigation.

#### **6 REFERENCES**

- M. Prome, Major Projects for Use High Power Linacs. Proc. of the 1996 Linac Conf., v.1 p9, (1996)
- [2] Y. Yamazaki, private communication.
- [3] E.A. Knapp, Standing wave high energy accelerating structures, Rev. of Sci. Instr. v. 39, p. 979, (1968)
- [4] V.G. Andreev, V.V. Paramonov. The distortions of the accelerating field... Proc. 1995 Part. Accel. Conf., p. 1702, (1995)
- [5] A.J. Hatch, H.B.Williams., Multi-pacting modes of high frequency breakdown, Phy. Rev., v. 112, n.3, p. 681, 1958
- [6] I.N. Slivkov, Process at high voltage in vacuum, Energiatomizdat, Moscow, 1986 (in Russian).
- [7] D. Proch, D. Einfeld, R. Onken, Measurement of multi pacting currents... Proc. 1995 PAC Conf., p. 1776-1778, (1995)
- [8] FNAL Linac Upgrade, Conseptual design report, FNAL, Nov. (1989)
- [9] T. Kageyama et al., A high-power model og the ACS cavity. Proc. 1990 Linac Conf., LA-12004-C, p.150, (1990)
- [10] B.V. Zverev, N.P. Sobenin, Parameters of accelerating cavities, Energoatomizdat, Moscow, (1993) (in Russian)
- [11] D. Callebout, Secondary electron resonance discharge. Phys. v. 29, n. 7, p. 784, 1963