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#### Abstract

A low- $\beta$ insertion has been designed for the BTeV experiment to be installed in the Tevatron C 0 straight section. With $\pm 12 \mathrm{~m}$ for detector space, a $\beta *$ of 0.5 m can be achieved using $170 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$ magnets in the final focus triplets. A half-crossing angle of $240 \mu \mathrm{r}$ keeps the beams separated by $5 \sigma$ at the 2 nd parasitic crossing; 39.5 m from the IP. There are two possible low- $\beta$ Tevatron Collider operating modes: CDF \& D0 with collisions, but not C0, and; C 0 with collisions, but not B 0 or D 0 .


## 1 DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

A new C0 Interaction Region (IR) insertion must operate in a manner that does not impact established Run IIb Tevatron parameters. This implies creating a localized insertion - one which is completely transparent to the rest of the machine. This constraint has several design implications, some of which are outlined below:

- The Run II design (fractional) tunes can be retained by adding 2 low- $\beta$ 's in each plane, thereby boosting the machine tunes by a full integer.
- The B0 \& D0 IR's are not optically-isolated entities. The lattice functions at any point in the ring, and the phase advances across any section of the ring vary through the low- $\beta$ squeeze sequence. The C0 insertion must be able to track these fluid matching conditions.
- Low- $\beta$ collisions at all 3 IP's simultaneously would require additional separators in the short B0 $\rightarrow \mathrm{C} 0 \& \mathrm{C} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{D} 0$ arcs. There is zero arc space available for more separators, so completely controlled low $-\beta$ collisions can only be created at B0 \& D0, or just C0, but not all three simultaneously.


## 2 PHYSICAL LAYOUT



Figure 1: Power circuits of the IR quadrupoles.

[^0]The IR quadrupole circuits are illustrated in Fig. 1. The magnets required fall into 3 gradient ranges: LHC-like magnets operating at or below $170 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$ (the gradients are limited in this application by the Tevatron 4.2 K cryogenics); high-field $140 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$ quadrupoles removed from CDF \& D0 for Run II at the Q1 locations, and; strong ( $\leq$ $40 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$ ) correction spools for completing the final optical match into the arcs.

Three new standard Tevatron electrostatic separators located outboard of the triplets at the B49 \& C11 locations provide postion control at the IP.

Composition of the quadrupole circuits is described below, with the indicated lengths being magnetic lengths:

- The triplets:

| Q1 | $: 96.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $170 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Q2 | $: 173.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $170 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Q3 | $: 96.5^{\prime \prime}$ | $170 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$ |

The final focus magnets run in series. Correction packages between the Q2 \& Q3 magnets contain short, strong trim quads. Variation of the QTT gradients is sufficient to complete the match to the IP optics.

- B48/C12 \& B47/C13:

| Q4 | $: 75^{\prime \prime}$ | $170 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Q5 | $: 54^{\prime \prime}$ | $170 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$ |

The Q4 \& Q5 magnets are the same LHC-like design as the triplet quadrupoles. New, short (56.175") spools containing multipole correctors also provide the magnet power feed $\&$ transport the main bus.

- B46 \& C14:

Q6 : 55.19" 140 T/m
The regular 66" arc quads and their spools at B46 \& C14 are replaced by independently-powered (existing) high-field 55" magnets plus new spools identical to those at the Q4 \& Q5 locations.

- B45 \& C15:

Q7 : 55.19" $140 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$
At B45 \& C15 the Tevatron $66^{\prime \prime}$ arc quads and their short spools are replaced by independently-powered (existing) 55" quadrupoles plus new, short (44.175") spools which provide the power feed to the magnets plus contain multipole correctors.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { • } \mathrm{B} 38 \rightarrow \mathrm{~B} 44 \& \mathrm{C} 16 \rightarrow \mathrm{C} 17: \\
& \text { QTx } \\
& : \quad 25^{\prime \prime}
\end{aligned}
$$

The QTx trim quads are allocated in a lop-sided configuration, with 2 more installed in the upstream end of the insert. In B-sector it is possible to extend insert elements a good distance back into the arc before interfering with Run IIb operations. Not so in C-sector. The 4 vertical separators at C17 are integral components of Run IIb controls and, therefore, define the downstream insert boundary.

## 3 OPTICS

With the Q1 magnets at C0 situated roughly 15 ' farther from the IP than those at $\mathrm{B} 0 \& \mathrm{D} 0, \beta$ max is considerably larger here for a given value of $\beta^{*}$. With $\beta^{*}=50 \mathrm{~cm}$, $\beta \max =1163 \mathrm{~m}$ (Fig.2), which is comparable to the $\beta$ max for $\beta^{*}=35 \mathrm{~cm}$ at the other IP's.


Figure 2: C0 collision optics.
Every stage of the squeeze from $\beta^{*}=2.60 \rightarrow 0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ at C 0 can match exactly to any step in the $\mathrm{B} 0 \& \mathrm{D} 0$ injection $\rightarrow \beta^{*}=0.35 \mathrm{~m}$ squeeze:

- $\beta^{*}=2.60$ @ C0 : Injection $\beta^{\prime}$ s @ B0/D0
- $\beta^{*}=2.60$ @ C0 : $\beta^{*}=0.35$ @ B0 \& D0
- $\beta^{*}=0.50$ @ C0 : Injection $\beta^{\prime}$ s @ B0/D0
- $\beta^{*}=0.50$ @ $\mathrm{C} 0: \beta^{*}=0.35$ @ B0 \& D0

Table 1 shows the range of C 0 gradients that arise while spanning this operational matrix. Magnets that must change polarity at some point are highlighted.

Table 1: Maximum \& minimum C0 gradients.

|  | $B^{\prime}[\max ]$ <br> $T / \mathrm{m}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}[\mathrm{min}]$ <br> $\mathrm{T} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1, Q2, Q3 | 167.997 | 166.421 |
| QTT | 37.696 | 0.268 |
| Q4 | 167.764 | 140.691 |
| Q5 | 166.247 | 147.714 |
| QB6 | 116.180 | 87.503 |
| QC6 | 121.899 | 91.247 |
| QB7 | 91.884 | 71.738 |
| QC7 | 94.789 | 74.967 |
| QTB8 | 11.860 | 7.438 |
| QTC8 | 32.941 | 15.710 |
| QTB9 | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 6 . 2 7 1}$ |
| QTC9 | $\mathbf{1 9 . 0 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{- 5 . 3 0 4}$ |
| QTB0 | $\mathbf{5 . 1 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{- 7 . 2 6 8}$ |
| QTBB | $\mathbf{1 . 4 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{- 6 . 4 9 8}$ |

## 4 BEAM SEPARATION \& COLLISIONS

To reduce the number of interactions per crossing in Run IIb bunch spacing in the Tevatron will be decreased from $396 \rightarrow 132 \mathrm{nsec}$. With the first parasitic crossings
then occurring just 19.86 m from the IP's, crossing angles are necessary to obtain separated beams [1].

The favored Run IIb collision helix solution has B0 \& D0 half-crossing angles of $\left(\mathrm{x}^{\prime} *, \mathrm{y}^{\prime *}\right)=(+170,-170) \mu \mathrm{rad} ;$ giving $5 \sigma$ of separation at the 1 st crossing for $\beta^{*}=35$ cm , and $20 \pi$ emittance ( $95 \%$, normalized) beams.

### 4.1 B0 \& D0 Collisions - Not C0

With collisions at just $\mathrm{B} 0 \& \mathrm{D} 0$, the C 0 optics remain in the injection configuration with $\beta^{*}=2.60 \mathrm{~m}$, and the B 49 \& C11 separators are turned off. The resulting matched helix from B38 $\rightarrow$ C21 is shown below. Beam separation is $\geq 5 \sigma$ everywhere. The circles indicate the potential collision points at 7 half-bucket intervals.


Figure 3: Separation at C 0 during $\mathrm{B} 0 \& \mathrm{D} 0$ collisions.

### 4.2 Low $-\beta^{*}$ C0 Collisions - Not B0 or D0

For collisions at C 0 with $\beta^{*}=50 \mathrm{~cm}$ the optics at B0 \& D0 remain in their injection configuration. All the separators in the ring then become available for bringing beams together at the C0 IP, while keeping them separated everywhere else. For half-crossing angles at C 0 of $\left(\mathrm{x}^{\prime *}, \mathrm{y}^{\prime *}\right)=(-170,+170) \mu \mathrm{rad}$, one possible (minimal) separator solution is listed in Table 2 below.

Table 2: Separator settings for C0-only collisions.

| Separator Gradients <br> (MV /m ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horizontal |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The resulting beam separation around the ring is illustrated in Figure 4. The closest approach occurs in the insert at the 2nd parasitic crossing, where separation is about $5 \sigma$. Elsewhere, the average separation is $10 \rightarrow 13 \sigma$.


Figure 4: Beam separation during C 0 -only collisions

### 4.3 High- $\beta^{*}$ C0 Collisions + B0 \& D0 Collisions

There are just 5 sets of separators in each plane between $\mathrm{B} 0 \& \mathrm{D} 0$, including the new B49 \& C11 modules. With the $\mathrm{B} 0 \& \mathrm{D} 0$ crossing angles fixed at their Run IIb values of $\left(\mathrm{x}^{\prime} *, \mathrm{y}^{\prime *}\right)=(+170,-170) \mu \mathrm{rad}$ it is not possible to control beam position \& angle at the C0 IP while simultaneously maintaining adequate beam separation through the arcs [2]. However, if the insistence on complete beam control at C 0 is relinquished, collisions can be created at all 3 IP's, but at a reduced luminosity

By very slightly adjusting the gradients ( $\ll 1 \%$ ) of just 1 additional separator in each plane of the short $\mathrm{B} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{C} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{D} 0$ section, collisions can be created at C 0 without impacting B0 \& D0 collisions or noticeably altering beam separation through the arc.


Figure 5: Separation in the short arc $\mathrm{B} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{C} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{D} 0$ : $\beta^{*}=2.60 \mathrm{~m} @ \mathrm{C} 0$

With crossing angles of $\left(\mathrm{x}^{\prime} *, \mathrm{y}^{\prime} *\right)=(+170,-170) \mu \mathrm{rad}$ fixed at B0 \& D0, Fig. $5 \&$ Table 3 illustrate one possible separator solution leading to C 0 collisions. At C0 $\beta^{*}$ remains at the injection value of $2.60 \mathrm{~m} \&$ the total half-crossing angle is $275.9 \mu \mathrm{rad}$, giving $\approx 16 \sigma$ separation at the 1st parasitic crossing. At C0 luminosity is $\approx 1 / 4$ that at $\mathrm{B} 0 \& \mathrm{D} 0$, and $\approx 1 / 3$ the nominal C 0 luminosity with $\beta^{*}=0.50 \mathrm{~m}$ [3].

Table 3: Separator gradient changes in the short $\mathrm{B} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{D} 0$ arc to create high- $\beta^{*}$ collisions at C 0

| Separator Gradients <br> ( $\mathrm{MV} / \mathrm{m}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Run IIb Nominal |  |  | B0,C0, \& D0 Collisions |  |  |
| B11H | 1 | -4.18408 | B11H | 1 | -4.18496 |
| B11V | 2 | -4.10724 | B11V | 2 | -4.10660 |
| B49H | 2 | 0.0 | B49H | 2 | -3.33144 |
| B49V | 1 | 0.0 | B49V | 1 | -3.26163 |
| C 11 H | 1 | 0.0 | C 11 H | 1 | -3.55194 |
| C11V | 2 | 0.0 | C11V | 2 | -3.05772 |

Very modest gains in luminosity at C 0 can be realized by lowering $\beta^{*}$ from 2.60 m . However, the limiting factor with this approach is the fairly alarming rate at which beam separation increases in the triplets.

## 5 SUMMARY

By adding an integer of betatron phase advance locally at C 0 , a low $-\beta^{*} \mathrm{BTeV}$ insert can be designed that is optically transparent to the rest of the Tevatron, with no impact on nominal Run IIb operating parameters.

IR quadrupole construction requires 2 new technologies:

- The final-focus triplets plus Q4 \& Q5 magnets are LHC designs, operating at gradients of $170 \mathrm{~T} / \mathrm{m}$.
- Strong quadrupole correctors ( $25 \mathrm{~T} . \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{m}$ ) are needed for the final optical match into the arcs.

New separator modules at the B49 \& C11 locations provide position control at the IP during C 0 -only collisions, and are also useful in creating B0, D0, plus C 0 collisions - albeit at reduced luminosity. There are insufficient separators through the short $\mathrm{B} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{C} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{D} 0$ arc to provide independent position \& angle control at all 3 IP's simultaneously.
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