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## Abstract

The single-particle dynamics in a time-dependent focusing field is examined. The existence of the Courant-Snyder invariant is fundamentally a result of the corresponding symmetry admitted by the oscillator equation with timedependent frequency. A careful analysis of the admitted symmetries reveals a deeper connection between the nonlinear envelope equation and the oscillator equation. A general theorem regarding the symmetries and invariants of the envelope equation, which includes the existence of the Courant-Snyder invariant as a special case, is demonstrated. The symmetries of the envelope equation enable a fast algorithm for finding matched solutions without using the conventional iterative shooting method.

## INTRODUCTION

The Courant-Snyder invariant for an oscillator with timedependent frequency is an important concept for accelerator physics [1]. For an oscillation amplitude $u(t)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{u}+\kappa(t) u=0, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa(t)$ is the time-dependent frequency coefficient, the Courant-Snyder invariant is given by [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{u^{2}}{w^{2}}+(\dot{w} u-w \dot{u})^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $w=w(t)$ is any solution of the envelope equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{w}+\kappa(t) w-\frac{1}{w^{3}}=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This classical result has been derived many times using different methods. Initially, it was derived by Courant and Snyder in 1958 [2] using the basic techniques for Hill's equation. It was rediscovered by Lewis [3] using the asymptotic method developed by Kruskal [4]; Eliezer and Gray [5] demonstrated a physical interpretation of the invariant; a derivation using linear canonical transformation was given by Leach [6]; and Lutzky re-derived the result using Noether's theorem [7]. A short review of various derivation methods can be found in Ref. [8]. We note that the basic concept of the Courant-Snyder invariant may had appeared earlier in other formats. For example, Kulsrud obtained two equations for $w$ which are equivalent to Eq. (3) [9]. The concept of an envelope function $w$ and

[^0]its notation, we believe, can be attributed to a paper by Brikhoff [10], which predated the 1911 Solvay Conference, where, according to commonly accepted history, the concept of adiabatic invariant for a time-dependent harmonic oscillator was first discussed by Lorentz and Einstein [11].

In this paper, we first re-examine the time-dependent harmonic oscillator equation from the viewpoint of the symmetry group $G$ for Eq. (1). It is shown that the symmetry group for Eq. (1) is generated by an 8D Lie algebra (infinitesimal generator) $g$, which contains the 3D subalgebra $g_{C S}$ that corresponds to the Courant-Snyder invariant. The envelope equation appears naturally as the determining equation for $g_{C S}$. We then investigate the symmetry group of the envelope equation itself. It is interesting that the determining equation for the Lie algebra $g_{w}$ of the symmetry group $G_{w}$ for the envelope equation is an envelope equation itself. A theorem regarding the symmetry and the invariant for envelope equations is presented, together with applications.

## SYMMETRY GROUP FOR TIME-DEPENDENT OSCILLATOR EQUATION

A symmetry group can be used to reduce the order of differential equations and to generate invariants [12]. We search for vector fields $v$ in $(t, u)$ space

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\xi(t, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\phi(t, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as infinitesimal generators (Lie algebra) $g$ for the symmetry transformation group $G$, which leaves Eq. (1) invariant. The vector field $v$ will induce a vector field in $(t, u, \dot{u}, \ddot{u})$ space, i.e., the prolongation of $v$ denoted by $p r^{(2)} v$,

$$
\begin{align*}
p r^{(2)} v & =\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\phi \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+\phi^{u} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{u}}+\phi^{u u} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ddot{u}}  \tag{5}\\
\phi^{u} & \equiv \phi_{t}+\left(\phi_{u}-\xi_{t}\right) \dot{u}-\xi_{u} \dot{u}^{2}  \tag{6}\\
\phi^{u u} & \equiv-3 \xi_{u} \dot{u} \ddot{u}+\left(\phi_{u}-2 \xi_{t}\right) \ddot{u}-\xi_{u u} \dot{u}^{3}  \tag{7}\\
& +\left(\phi_{u u}-2 \xi_{t u}\right) \dot{u}^{2}+\left(2 \phi_{u t}-\xi_{t t}\right) \dot{u}+\phi_{t t} .
\end{align*}
$$

The determining equation for $v$ to be an infinitesimal generator for $G$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p r^{(2)} v[\ddot{u}+\kappa(t) u]=\phi^{u u}+\kappa \phi+\xi \dot{\kappa} u=0 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the expression for $\phi^{u u}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\xi_{u u} \dot{u}^{3}+\left(\phi_{u u}-2 \xi_{t u}\right) \dot{u}^{2}+\left(3 \kappa \xi_{u} u+2 \phi_{u t}-\xi_{t t}\right) \dot{u} \\
-\left(\phi_{u}-2 \xi_{t}\right) \kappa u+\phi_{t t}+\kappa \phi+\dot{\kappa} \xi u=0 \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since Eq. (9) should be valid everywhere in $(t, u, \dot{u})$ space, the coefficients of $\dot{u}^{3}, \dot{u}^{2}$, and $\dot{u}$ should vanish, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{u u} & =0  \tag{10}\\
\phi_{u u}-2 \xi_{t u} & =0  \tag{11}\\
3 \kappa \xi_{u} u+2 \phi_{u t}-\xi_{t t} & =0  \tag{12}\\
-\kappa\left(\phi_{u}-2 \xi_{t}\right) u+\phi_{t t}+\kappa \phi+\dot{\kappa} \xi u & =0 \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (10)-(13) can be used to find the solutions for $\xi$ and $\phi$. After some algebra, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi=a(t) u+b(t)  \tag{14}\\
& \phi=\dot{a}(t) u^{2}+c(t) u+d(t) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a(t), b(t), c(t)$ and $d(t)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
\ddot{a}+\kappa a & =0,  \tag{16}\\
\ddot{d}+\kappa d & =0,  \tag{17}\\
\dddot{b}+4 \kappa \dot{b}+2 \dot{\kappa} b & =0,  \tag{18}\\
\dot{c}-\frac{\ddot{b}}{2} & =0 . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (16)-(19) have eight degrees of freedom. Therefore, the Lie algebra $g$ is 8 D , which is the maximum dimension that a second-order ODE can have for the Lie algebra of its symmetry group. The sub-algebras generated by $a$, $d$, and $b$ are independent, and have the dimension of 2,2 , and 3 respectively. From Eq. (19), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\frac{\dot{b}}{2}+c_{0} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is one degree of freedom associated with $c_{0}$.
According to the basic result of Noether's theorem, every infinitesimal divergence symmetry corresponds to an invariant [12]. Here, an infinitesimal divergence symmetry is defined as a vector field satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
p r^{(2)} v(L)+L \frac{d \xi}{d s}=\frac{d B(t, u)}{d t} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some function $B(t, u)$. In Eq. (21), $L$ is the Lagrangian for Eq. (1). It can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p r^{(2)} v(L)=\frac{d A}{d t}+\xi \frac{d L}{d t} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some function $A(t, u)$, from which it follows that $I=$ $B-A-L \xi$ is an invariant if $v$ is an infinitesimal divergence symmetry. It can also be demonstrated that every infinitesimal divergence symmetry belongs to the Lie algebra $g$ for the symmetry group $G$ of Eq. (1). Since we have obtained the Lie algebra $g$, to determine all of the invariants of Eq. (1), it is only necessary to verify which subspace of $g$ consists of infinitesimal divergence symmetries. It turns out that the infinitesimal divergence symmetries form a 5 D subspace $g_{1}$ of the 8 D Lie algebra $g$. It is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=b(t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\left[\frac{\dot{b}(t)}{2} u+d(t)\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial u} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the 2D sub-algebra $v=d \partial / \partial u$ associated with $d$, it is easy to show that the invariant is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=u \dot{d}-\dot{u} d \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the well-known Wronskian for linear equations. For the 3D Lie algebra $v=b \partial / \partial \xi+u(\dot{b} / 2) \partial / \partial u$ associated with $b$, the invariant is found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\left[\frac{\ddot{b}}{4}+\frac{\kappa}{2} b\right] u^{2}+\frac{b}{2} \dot{u}^{2}-\frac{\dot{b}}{2} u \dot{u} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show that this is indeed the Courant-Snyder invariant. Let $b=2 w^{2}$, Eq. (18) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \ddot{w}+3 \dot{w} \ddot{w}+4 \kappa w \dot{w}+\dot{\kappa} w^{2}=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{gather*}
3 \dot{w} h+\dot{h} w=0,  \tag{27}\\
h \equiv \ddot{w}+\kappa w-\frac{1}{w^{3}} . \tag{28}
\end{gather*}
$$

In other words,

$$
h=\frac{\varepsilon-1}{w^{3}}
$$

for an arbitrary constant $\varepsilon$. Thus, we obtain the envelope equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{w}+\kappa w-\frac{\varepsilon}{w^{3}}=0 . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of $w$, the infinitesimal generator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{C S}=2 w^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+4 w \dot{w} u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the invariant in Eq. (25) becomes the familiar CourantSnyder invariant

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\left(\dot{w}^{2}+\frac{\varepsilon}{w^{2}}\right) u^{2}+w^{2} \dot{u}^{2}-2 w \dot{w} u \dot{u} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this sense, we can refer to the symmetry group generated by the infinitesimal generator in Eq. (30) as CourantSnyder symmetry. The Lie algebra of the Courant-Snyder symmetry is 3D because $\varepsilon$ is an arbitrary constant in addition to the two arbitrary constants needed to specify a particular solution for $w$. Not surprisingly, Eq. (18) is exactly the same as that for the well-known $\beta$ function in CourantSnyder theory.

The 3D subspace in $g$ complementary to $g_{1}$ does not produce any invariant. The one degree of freedom associated with $c_{0}$ in Eq. (20) corresponds to

$$
v=c_{0} u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}
$$

which generates the symmetry group of the scaling transformation $\tilde{u}=\exp \left(c_{0} \tau\right) u$, which is obviously due to the fact that Eq. (1) is linear. The sub-algebra of $g$ generated by $a$ has 2 degrees of freedom, but currently it does not seem to have any appreciable importance.

## SYMMETRY GROUP FOR THE ENVELOPE EQUATION

We now apply the symmetry group analysis to the envelope equation [Eq. (29)] itself. The symmetry group $G_{w}$ for Eq. (29) should be a subgroup of the symmetry group $G$ for Eq. (1), because the special case of Eq. (29) for $\varepsilon=0$ is Eq. (1). Carrying out a similar procedure to that for deriving Eqs. (16)-(19), we obtain the Lie algebra $g_{w}$ for $G_{w}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{w}=2 w_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+4 w_{1} \dot{w}_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{1}$ satisfies another envelope equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{w}_{1}+\kappa w_{1}-\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{w_{1}^{3}}=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an arbitrary constant $\varepsilon_{1}$. Further analysis shows that $v_{w}$ is an infinitesimal divergence symmetry with the invariant

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\varepsilon\left(\frac{w_{1}}{w}\right)^{2}+\varepsilon_{1}\left(\frac{w}{w_{1}}\right)^{2}+\left(w \dot{w}_{1}-\dot{w} w_{1}\right)^{2} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

We summarize the above result in the following theorem.
Theorem 1. For an arbitrary function $\kappa(t)$ and $w_{1}, w_{2}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
\ddot{w}_{1}+\kappa w_{1} & =\frac{\varepsilon_{1}}{w_{1}^{3}},  \tag{35}\\
\ddot{w}_{2}+\kappa w_{2} & =\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{w_{2}^{3}}, \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ are real constants, the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\varepsilon_{1}\left(\frac{w_{2}}{w_{1}}\right)^{2}+\varepsilon_{2}\left(\frac{w_{1}}{w_{2}}\right)^{2}+\left(w_{2} \dot{w}_{1}-\dot{w}_{2} w_{1}\right)^{2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an invariant.
This result was obtained by Lutzky in a less general form [7], and it can be straightfowardly verified by direct calculation. The invariant in Eq. (37) allows us to solve for the general solutions for $w_{1}$ in terms of a special solution for $w_{2}$. Let $q=w_{1} / w_{2}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =\varepsilon_{1} \frac{1}{q^{2}}+\varepsilon_{2} q^{2}+\left(\frac{d q}{d \psi}\right)^{2}  \tag{38}\\
\psi & \equiv \int \frac{1}{w_{2}^{2}} d t \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (38) can be solved for $q$ in terms of $\psi$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{2}=\frac{I-\sqrt{I^{2}-4 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}} \sin \left[-2 \sqrt{\varepsilon_{2}}(\psi+C)\right]}{2 \varepsilon_{2}} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently,
$w_{1}=w_{2}\left(\frac{I-\sqrt{I^{2}-4 \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}} \sin \left[-2 \sqrt{\varepsilon_{2}}(\psi+C)\right]}{2 \varepsilon_{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}$.

Here, $I$ and $C$ are constants. Equation (41) recovers the Courant-Snyder theory, Eqs. (1) and (3), as a special case when $\varepsilon_{1}=0$, and $\varepsilon_{2}=1$. Another application of Theorem 1 and Eq. (41) is in the numerical solution of the envelope equation [Eq. (3)]. For a periodic focusing lattice $\kappa(t)$, it is desirable to find matched solutions to construct the $\beta$ functions. Normally, this is done by a shooting method, where Eq. (3) is solved numerically many times, iteratively. Using Eq. (41) for the case where $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon_{2}=1$, we can have a much more efficient algorithm, where Eq. (3) needs to be numerically solved only once. First, we pick arbitrary initial conditions for $w(t=0)=w_{0}$ and $\dot{w}(t=0)=\dot{w}_{0}$ at $t=0$, and solve numerically for $w$ from $t=0$ to one lattice period at $t=T$. Denote this solution as $w_{s}(t)$. Applying Eq. (41), the general solution for $w_{g}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{g} & =w_{s}\left(\frac{I-\sqrt{I^{2}-4} \sin [-2(\psi+C)]}{2}\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{42}\\
\psi & =\int_{0}^{t} \frac{1}{w_{s}^{2}} d t \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

By selecting $I$ and $C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{g}(0)=w_{g}(T) \text { and } \dot{w}_{g}(0)=\dot{w}_{g}(T) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain the matched solution to Eq. (3) for a periodic focusing lattice $\kappa(t)=\kappa(t+T)$.
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