# SYMMETRIES AND INVARIANTS OF THE TIME-DEPENDENT OSCILLATOR EQUATION AND THE ENVELOPE EQUATION\*

Hong Qin and Ronald C. Davidson Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08543, USA

### Abstract

The single-particle dynamics in a time-dependent focusing field is examined. The existence of the Courant-Snyder invariant is fundamentally a result of the corresponding symmetry admitted by the oscillator equation with timedependent frequency. A careful analysis of the admitted symmetries reveals a deeper connection between the nonlinear envelope equation and the oscillator equation. A general theorem regarding the symmetries and invariants of the envelope equation, which includes the existence of the Courant-Snyder invariant as a special case, is demonstrated. The symmetries of the envelope equation enable a fast algorithm for finding matched solutions without using the conventional iterative shooting method.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

The Courant-Snyder invariant for an oscillator with timedependent frequency is an important concept for accelerator physics [1]. For an oscillation amplitude u(t) satisfying

$$\ddot{u} + \kappa(t)u = 0, \qquad (1)$$

where  $\kappa(t)$  is the time-dependent frequency coefficient, the Courant-Snyder invariant is given by [2]

$$I = \frac{u^2}{w^2} + (\dot{w}u - w\dot{u})^2 .$$
 (2)

Here, w = w(t) is any solution of the envelope equation

$$\ddot{w} + \kappa(t)w - \frac{1}{w^3} = 0.$$
 (3)

This classical result has been derived many times using different methods. Initially, it was derived by Courant and Snyder in 1958 [2] using the basic techniques for Hill's equation. It was rediscovered by Lewis [3] using the asymptotic method developed by Kruskal [4]; Eliezer and Gray [5] demonstrated a physical interpretation of the invariant; a derivation using linear canonical transformation was given by Leach [6]; and Lutzky re-derived the result using Noether's theorem [7]. A short review of various derivation methods can be found in Ref. [8]. We note that the basic concept of the Courant-Snyder invariant may had appeared earlier in other formats. For example, Kulsrud obtained two equations for w which are equivalent to Eq. (3) [9]. The concept of an envelope function w and

its notation, we believe, can be attributed to a paper by Brikhoff [10], which predated the 1911 Solvay Conference, where, according to commonly accepted history, the concept of adiabatic invariant for a time-dependent harmonic oscillator was first discussed by Lorentz and Einstein [11].

In this paper, we first re-examine the time-dependent harmonic oscillator equation from the viewpoint of the symmetry group G for Eq. (1). It is shown that the symmetry group for Eq. (1) is generated by an 8D Lie algebra (infinitesimal generator) g, which contains the 3D subalgebra  $g_{CS}$  that corresponds to the Courant-Snyder invariant. The envelope equation appears naturally as the determining equation for  $g_{CS}$ . We then investigate the symmetry group of the envelope equation itself. It is interesting that the determining equation for the Lie algebra  $g_w$  of the symmetry group  $G_w$  for the envelope equation is an envelope equation itself. A theorem regarding the symmetry and the invariant for envelope equations is presented, together with applications.

## SYMMETRY GROUP FOR TIME-DEPENDENT OSCILLATOR EQUATION

A symmetry group can be used to reduce the order of differential equations and to generate invariants [12]. We search for vector fields v in (t, u) space

$$v = \xi(t, u)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \phi(t, u)\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \tag{4}$$

as infinitesimal generators (Lie algebra) g for the symmetry transformation group G, which leaves Eq. (1) invariant. The vector field v will induce a vector field in  $(t, u, \dot{u}, \ddot{u})$  space, i.e., the prolongation of v denoted by  $pr^{(2)}v$ ,

$$pr^{(2)}v = \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + \phi^u \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{u}} + \phi^{uu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ddot{u}}, \qquad (5)$$

$$\phi^u \equiv \phi_t + (\phi_u - \xi_t)\dot{u} - \xi_u \dot{u}^2 \,, \tag{6}$$

$$\phi^{uu} \equiv -3\xi_u \dot{u}\ddot{u} + (\phi_u - 2\xi_t)\ddot{u} - \xi_{uu}\dot{u}^3$$
(7)  
+  $(\phi_{uu} - 2\xi_{tu})\dot{u}^2 + (2\phi_{ut} - \xi_{tt})\dot{u} + \phi_{tt}$ .

The determining equation for v to be an infinitesimal generator for G is

$$pr^{(2)}v\left[\ddot{u}+\kappa(t)u\right] = \phi^{uu} + \kappa\phi + \xi\dot{\kappa}u = 0.$$
(8)

Substituting the expression for  $\phi^{uu}$ , we obtain

$$-\xi_{uu}\dot{u}^{3} + (\phi_{uu} - 2\xi_{tu})\dot{u}^{2} + (3\kappa\xi_{u}u + 2\phi_{ut} - \xi_{tt})\dot{u} -(\phi_{u} - 2\xi_{t})\kappa u + \phi_{tt} + \kappa\phi + \dot{\kappa}\xi u = 0.$$
(9)

<sup>\*</sup> Research supported by the U.S. Department of Energy. We thank Drs. K. Takayama, T.-S Wang, P. Channell, and R. Kulsrud for many productive discussions.

Since Eq. (9) should be valid everywhere in  $(t, u, \dot{u})$  space, the coefficients of  $\dot{u}^3$ ,  $\dot{u}^2$ , and  $\dot{u}$  should vanish, i.e.,

$$\xi_{uu} = 0, \qquad (10)$$

$$\phi_{uu} - 2\xi_{tu} = 0, \quad (11)$$

$$3\kappa\xi_u u + 2\phi_{ut} - \xi_{tt} = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$-\kappa \left(\phi_u - 2\xi_t\right) u + \phi_{tt} + \kappa \phi + \dot{\kappa}\xi u = 0.$$
 (13)

Equations (10)-(13) can be used to find the solutions for  $\xi$  and  $\phi$ . After some algebra, we obtain

$$\xi = a(t)u + b(t), \qquad (14)$$

$$\phi = \dot{a}(t)u^2 + c(t)u + d(t), \qquad (15)$$

where a(t), b(t), c(t) and d(t) satisfy

$$\ddot{a} + \kappa a = 0, \qquad (16)$$

$$\ddot{d} + \kappa d = 0, \qquad (17)$$

$$\ddot{b} + 4\kappa \dot{b} + 2\dot{\kappa}b = 0, \qquad (18)$$

$$\dot{c} - \frac{b}{2} = 0.$$
 (19)

Equations (16)-(19) have eight degrees of freedom. Therefore, the Lie algebra g is 8D, which is the maximum dimension that a second-order ODE can have for the Lie algebra of its symmetry group. The sub-algebras generated by a, d, and b are independent, and have the dimension of 2, 2, and 3 respectively. From Eq. (19), we obtain

$$c = \frac{\dot{b}}{2} + c_0 \,.$$
 (20)

There is one degree of freedom associated with  $c_0$ .

According to the basic result of Noether's theorem, every infinitesimal divergence symmetry corresponds to an invariant [12]. Here, an infinitesimal divergence symmetry is defined as a vector field satisfying

$$pr^{(2)}v(L) + L\frac{d\xi}{ds} = \frac{dB(t,u)}{dt}$$
(21)

for some function B(t, u). In Eq. (21), L is the Lagrangian for Eq. (1). It can be shown that

$$pr^{(2)}v(L) = \frac{dA}{dt} + \xi \frac{dL}{dt}$$
(22)

for some function A(t, u), from which it follows that  $I = B - A - L\xi$  is an invariant if v is an infinitesimal divergence symmetry. It can also be demonstrated that every infinitesimal divergence symmetry belongs to the Lie algebra g for the symmetry group G of Eq. (1). Since we have obtained the Lie algebra g, to determine all of the invariants of Eq. (1), it is only necessary to verify which subspace of g consists of infinitesimal divergence symmetries. It turns out that the infinitesimal divergence symmetries form a 5D subspace  $g_1$  of the 8D Lie algebra g. It is given by

$$v = b(t)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \left[\frac{\dot{b}(t)}{2}u + d(t)\right]\frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$
 (23)

For the 2D sub-algebra  $v = d\partial/\partial u$  associated with d, it is easy to show that the invariant is

$$I = u\dot{d} - \dot{u}d , \qquad (24)$$

which is the well-known Wronskian for linear equations. For the 3D Lie algebra  $v = b\partial/\partial\xi + u(\dot{b}/2)\partial/\partial u$  associated with b, the invariant is found to be

$$I = \left[\frac{\ddot{b}}{4} + \frac{\kappa}{2}b\right]u^2 + \frac{b}{2}\dot{u}^2 - \frac{\dot{b}}{2}u\dot{u}.$$
 (25)

We now show that this is indeed the Courant-Snyder invariant. Let  $b = 2w^2$ , Eq. (18) becomes

$$w\ddot{w} + 3\dot{w}\ddot{w} + 4\kappa w\dot{w} + \dot{\kappa}w^2 = 0, \qquad (26)$$

which is equivalent to

$$3\dot{w}h + \dot{h}w = 0, \qquad (27)$$

$$h \equiv \ddot{w} + \kappa w - \frac{1}{w^3}.$$
 (28)

In other words,

$$h = \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{w^3}$$

for an arbitrary constant  $\varepsilon$ . Thus, we obtain the envelope equation

$$\ddot{w} + \kappa w - \frac{\varepsilon}{w^3} = 0.$$
 (29)

In terms of w, the infinitesimal generator is

$$v_{CS} = 2w^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 4w \dot{w} u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \qquad (30)$$

and the invariant in Eq. (25) becomes the familiar Courant-Snyder invariant

$$I = (\dot{w}^2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{w^2})u^2 + w^2 \dot{u}^2 - 2w \dot{w} u \dot{u} \,. \tag{31}$$

In this sense, we can refer to the symmetry group generated by the infinitesimal generator in Eq. (30) as Courant-Snyder symmetry. The Lie algebra of the Courant-Snyder symmetry is 3D because  $\varepsilon$  is an arbitrary constant in addition to the two arbitrary constants needed to specify a particular solution for w. Not surprisingly, Eq. (18) is exactly the same as that for the well-known  $\beta$  function in Courant-Snyder theory.

The 3D subspace in g complementary to  $g_1$  does not produce any invariant. The one degree of freedom associated with  $c_0$  in Eq. (20) corresponds to

$$v = c_0 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}$$

which generates the symmetry group of the scaling transformation  $\tilde{u} = \exp(c_0 \tau)u$ , which is obviously due to the fact that Eq. (1) is linear. The sub-algebra of g generated by a has 2 degrees of freedom, but currently it does not seem to have any appreciable importance.

## SYMMETRY GROUP FOR THE ENVELOPE EQUATION

We now apply the symmetry group analysis to the envelope equation [Eq. (29)] itself. The symmetry group  $G_w$  for Eq. (29) should be a subgroup of the symmetry group G for Eq. (1), because the special case of Eq. (29) for  $\varepsilon = 0$  is Eq. (1). Carrying out a similar procedure to that for deriving Eqs. (16)-(19), we obtain the Lie algebra  $g_w$  for  $G_w$  as

$$v_w = 2w_1^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + 4w_1 \dot{w}_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \qquad (32)$$

where  $w_1$  satisfies another envelope equation

$$\ddot{w}_1 + \kappa w_1 - \frac{\varepsilon_1}{w_1^3} = 0 \tag{33}$$

with an arbitrary constant  $\varepsilon_1$ . Further analysis shows that  $v_w$  is an infinitesimal divergence symmetry with the invariant

$$I = \varepsilon \left(\frac{w_1}{w}\right)^2 + \varepsilon_1 \left(\frac{w}{w_1}\right)^2 + \left(w\dot{w}_1 - \dot{w}w_1\right)^2 .$$
(34)

We summarize the above result in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** For an arbitrary function  $\kappa(t)$  and  $w_1$ ,  $w_2$  satisfying

$$\ddot{w}_1 + \kappa w_1 = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{w_1^3},\tag{35}$$

$$\ddot{w}_2 + \kappa w_2 = \frac{\varepsilon_2}{w_2^3},\tag{36}$$

where  $\varepsilon_1$  and  $\varepsilon_2$  are real constants, the quantity

$$I = \varepsilon_1 \left(\frac{w_2}{w_1}\right)^2 + \varepsilon_2 \left(\frac{w_1}{w_2}\right)^2 + \left(w_2 \dot{w}_1 - \dot{w}_2 w_1\right)^2 \quad (37)$$

is an invariant.

This result was obtained by Lutzky in a less general form [7], and it can be straightfowardly verified by direct calculation. The invariant in Eq. (37) allows us to solve for the general solutions for  $w_1$  in terms of a special solution for  $w_2$ . Let  $q = w_1/w_2$ , we obtain

$$I = \varepsilon_1 \frac{1}{q^2} + \varepsilon_2 q^2 + \left(\frac{dq}{d\psi}\right)^2, \qquad (38)$$

$$\psi \equiv \int \frac{1}{w_2^2} dt \,. \tag{39}$$

Equation (38) can be solved for q in terms of  $\psi$  as

$$q^{2} = \frac{I - \sqrt{I^{2} - 4\varepsilon_{1}\varepsilon_{2}}\sin\left[-2\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2}}(\psi + C)\right]}{2\varepsilon_{2}}, \quad (40)$$

or equivalently,

$$w_1 = w_2 \left( \frac{I - \sqrt{I^2 - 4\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2} \sin\left[-2\sqrt{\varepsilon_2}(\psi + C)\right]}{2\varepsilon_2} \right)^{1/2}.$$
(41)

Here, I and C are constants. Equation (41) recovers the Courant-Snyder theory, Eqs. (1) and (3), as a special case when  $\varepsilon_1 = 0$ , and  $\varepsilon_2 = 1$ . Another application of Theorem 1 and Eq. (41) is in the numerical solution of the envelope equation [Eq. (3)]. For a periodic focusing lattice  $\kappa(t)$ , it is desirable to find matched solutions to construct the  $\beta$  functions. Normally, this is done by a shooting method, where Eq. (3) is solved numerically many times, iteratively. Using Eq. (41) for the case where  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_2 = 1$ , we can have a much more efficient algorithm, where Eq. (3) needs to be numerically solved only once. First, we pick arbitrary initial conditions for  $w(t = 0) = w_0$  and  $\dot{w}(t = 0) = \dot{w}_0$  at t = 0, and solve numerically for w from t = 0 to one lattice period at t = T. Denote this solution as  $w_s(t)$ . Applying Eq. (41), the general solution for  $w_q$  is

$$w_g = w_s \left(\frac{I - \sqrt{I^2 - 4}\sin\left[-2(\psi + C)\right]}{2}\right)^{1/2}, \quad (42)$$
$$\psi = \int^t \frac{1}{2} dt. \quad (43)$$

$$\psi = \int_0^{\cdot} \frac{w_s^2}{w_s^2} u \, .$$

By selecting I and C such that

$$w_g(0) = w_g(T) \text{ and } \dot{w}_g(0) = \dot{w}_g(T) ,$$
 (44)

we obtain the matched solution to Eq. (3) for a periodic focusing lattice  $\kappa(t) = \kappa(t + T)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- R. C. Davidson and H. Qin, *Physics of Intense Charged Particle Beams in High Energy Accelerators*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2001.
- [2] E. Courant and H. Snyder, Annals of Physics 3, 1 (1958).
- [3] J. Lewis, H.R., Journal of Mathematical Physics 9, 1976 (1968).
- [4] M. Kruskal, Journal of Mathematical Physics 3, 806 (1962).
- [5] C. Eliezer and A. Gray, SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics 30, 463 (1976).
- [6] P. Leach, Journal of Mathematical Physics 18, 1608 (1977).
- [7] M. Lutzky, Physics Letters 68A, 3 (1978).
- [8] K. Takayama, IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science NS-30, 2412 (1983).
- [9] R. Kulsrud, Physical Review 106, 205 (1957).
- [10] G. Birkhoff, Trans. American Mathematical Society 9, 219 (1908).
- [11] H. Goldstein, *Classical Mechanics*, pages 531–540, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1980.
- [12] P. J. Olver, Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.