COMPUTER ASSISTED BEAM CHARACTERIZATION AT AIRIX FACILITY

O. Mouton, D. Guilhem CEA/DIF, Département de Physique Théorique et Appliquée 91680 Bruyères-le-Châtel - FRANCE F. Cartier, D. Collignon, G. Grandpierre, L. Hourdin, D. Paradis, A. Coeuret, Ch. Noël, O. Pierret, M. Caron and M. Mouillet CEA/DIF, Polygone d'Expérimentation de Moronvilliers 51490 Pontfaverger-Moronvilliers - FRANCE

Abstract

AIRIX is a high current accelerator designed for flash X-ray radiography. The electron beam produced into a vacuum diode (2 kA, 3.5 MV to 3.8 MV, 60 ns) is extracted from a velvet cold cathode. For a complete beam characterization, at the diode output, a set of beam transport data is required. Part of those parameters can be experimentally obtained, but others, the transverse beam sizes, are unfortunately not measured. The TRAJENV [1-3] code is designed to simulate beam transport [4-5]. The code is coupled with the MINUIT [6-7] minimization library and it computes the unknown beam parameters at the diode output. In this paper, we propose to describe both experimental and theoretical approaches leading to the full beam characterization at the diode output.

THE BEAM PARAMETERS

For a complete beam characterisation, downstream the diode output, the following set of data is required: the primary beam current intensity (I⁽⁰⁾), the primary beam energy (E⁽⁰⁾), the 2D transverse root mean square (rms) beam sizes (X_{rms}⁽⁰⁾, Y_{rms}⁽⁰⁾), the 2D rms beam divergence (X⁽⁰⁾,Y⁽⁰⁾), as well as the 2D beam emittance ($\varepsilon_x^{(0)}, \varepsilon_y^{(0)}$) respectively in the phase space (xx') and (yy').

EXPERIMENTAL PARAMETERS

The experimentally beam transport parameters are given as well by electrical sensors located into the beam line (for the beam current I) by time resolved energy spread measurements (for E), as well as by a classical beam imaging set-up (for X^*_{rms} , Y^*_{rms}).

The figure 1 shows the linear relation between the beam current and the beam energy.

For the mean angular beam dispersion (X',Y'), the rms beam size (X,Y) as well as the beam emittance (ε_x , ε_y), a classical beam diagnostic based on the detection of the electron induced Cerenkov radiation has been used for the present purpose.

As a first step prior to a more refined analysis, we present in the figure 2 the beam patterns and the related transverse profiles measured for a typical AIRIX cathode at a given location : longitudinal position z=2.09 m away from the cathode.



Figure 1: Beam current as a function of beam energy.



Figure 2: Beam profile.

In a more refined analysis, we vary the current of the solenoidal magnet placed a few meters upstream the beam diagnostic. This magnet is the extraction solenoid located

05 Beam Dynamics and Electromagnetic Fields

D03 High Intensity - Incoherent Instabilities, Space Charge, Halos, Cooling

at z=0.28 m. The current of the solenoid varies from 180 A to 240 A. The measurement of the Cerenkov beam transverse dimensions X* and Y* (radii) is presented on the figure 3 with the radial error bars. For each value of the current, X* and Y*measurements are quite similar.



Figure 3: Beam radius Cerenkov measurement for different values of the solenoid current intensity.

We have seen that the beam sizes X_{rms} and Y_{rms} are measured downstream the diode output. The diode output corresponds to the initial conditions. Therefore, in order to get the relevant beam parameters $(X_{rms}^{(0)}, Y_{rms}^{(0)}, X^{(0)}, Y^{(0)})$ and $\varepsilon_x^{(0)}$, $\varepsilon_y^{(0)}$ at this location, numerical data treatments are required.

CALCULATED PARAMETERS

Minimization Method

At the beam diagnostic, located at z=2.09 m, the beam radii X* and Y* are measured with the errors ΔX and ΔY . The aim is to find the initial transport conditions (X,X',ε_x) and (Y,Y',ε_y) minimizing the χ^2 function, given by the following expression :

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(X_{i} - X_{i}^{*})^{2}}{\Delta X_{i}^{2}} + \frac{(Y_{i} - Y_{i}^{*})^{2}}{\Delta Y_{i}^{2}}$$

In this expression, X* and Y* are the Cerenkov measured radii with the standard deviations (errors) ΔX and ΔY . The X and Y variables are the calculated radii on the diagnostic. The index i refers to each measurement.

Calculation of Initial Conditions

As a first step we obtain an estimation of the the initial conditions with the 1D code ENV coupled with the CONDINIT program [8] which uses a three gradient technique. The code calculates the following results : $X = 19.3 \text{ mm}, X' = 64.6 \text{ mrad}, \varepsilon_x = 250 \text{ mm.mrad}.$

For the MINUIT library, we will give a domain around those approximate results. To obtain the initial conditions

05 Beam Dynamics and Electromagnetic Fields

(X, X', ε_x), in the transverse direction (x), we search a value respectively in the interval [15;25] (mm), [60;70] (mrad), and [220;270] (mm.mrad). For the (y) direction we consider the same domains for (Y, Y', ε_y).

The figure 4 shows the final listing output of the MINUIT and TRAJENV computer diagnostics. The calculated initial beam conditions are written under the title "CONDITIONS INITIALES". The calculated values of dimensions X and Y at the Cerenkov beam diagnostic are listed under the title "CALCULS AU DIAG. OPTIQUE".

MIGRAD MINIMIZATION HAS CONVERGED.
FCN= 0.2794889E-02 FROM MIGRAD STATUS=CONVERGED 337 CALLS 338 TOT
EDM= 0.22E-05 STRATEGY=0 ERROR MATRIX UNCERTAINTY= 16.
EXT PARAMETER APPROXIMATE STEP FIRST
NO. NAME VALUE ERROR SIZE DERIVATIVE
1 x[mm] 18.868 6.6811 -0.344/1E-02 0.126/3E-02
2 A [IntRu] 05.095 7.1291 -0.05752-01 -0.22662-05
4 Virmal 18 880 6 3162 0 88471F-02 -0 30654F-03
5 Y'Imradl 64.378 7.0760 -0.11264 0.66840E-04
6 ey[mm.mrad 222.41 33.899 -0.42604 0.11837E-04
EXTERNAL ERROR MATRIX. NDIM= 50 NPAR= 6 ERR DEF= 1.00
0.122E+04 0.458E+04-0.145E+05 0.114E+04 0.255E+04 0.287E+04
-0.145F+05-0.52F+05-0.327E+06-0.115E+05-0.440F+05-0.259F+06
0.114E+04 0.419E+04-0.119E+05 0.113E+04 0.218E+04-0.836E+03
0.255E+04 0.128E+05-0.440E+05 0.218E+04 0.984E+04 0.439E+05
0.287E+04 0.313E+05-0.259E+06-0.836E+03 0.439E+05 0.145E+07
ERR MATRIX APPROXIMATE
DADAWETED CODDELATION CORRECTENTS
PARAMETER CORRELATION COEFFICIENTS
1 0 98942 1 00 0 913 0 977 0 777 0 068
2 0.97857 0.913 1.000-0.517 0.848 0.877 0.178
3 0.83516 -0.600-0.517 1.000-0.513-0.642-0.312
4 0.97659 0.967 0.848-0.513 1.000 0.653-0.021
5 0.94536 0.737 0.877-0.642 0.653 1.000 0.368
6 0.53150 0.068 0.178-0.312-0.021 0.368 1.000
ERR MATRIX APPROXIMATE
CONDITIONS INITIALES
X[mm] = 18.87
x (mrad) = 65.09
Ex Lund, mi au - 209, 01
V[mrad] = 64.38
ev[mm.mrad=222.41

CALCULS AU DIAG. OPTIQUE
Ics[A] X[mm] Y[mm]
180. 39.903 40.371
190. 29.096 29.573
200. 18.871 19.338
200. 14.209 14.727 210 10 304 10 844
215. 7 858 8 314
220. 7.785 8.285
225. 11.030 11.613
230. 17.174 17.747
240. 33.917 33.360
FIN MINIMISATION

Figure 4: Listing output of calculated initial beam conditions and beam dimensions on the diagnostic.

The figure 5 shows a graphic presentation of the beam envelope given by the TRAJENV code with the calculated initial beam parameters along the trajectory between the extraction solenoid (first rectangular icon on the left) and the first induction cells (other rectangular icons).



Figure 5: Beam envelope given by the TRAJENV code.

D03 High Intensity - Incoherent Instabilities, Space Charge, Halos, Cooling

The figure 6 shows the radius as a function of the solenoidal current. The simulation is in very good agreement with experiment in both directions (x) and (y). For both transverse directions, the TRAJENV code coupled with MINUIT gives the following initial conditions :

 $X=19.0 \text{ mm}, X'=66.0 \text{ mrad}, \varepsilon_x=268 \text{ mm.mrad};$

 $Y = 19.1 \text{ mm}, Y' = 65.3 \text{ mrad}, \varepsilon_{y} = 221 \text{ mm.mrad}.$



Figure 6: Beam simulated radii compared to experimental results for different values of the solenoid current.

CONCLUSION

We described a method to determine the unknown initial beam parameters (X,X'), (Y,Y') and ($\varepsilon_{x,y},\varepsilon_{y}$) from beam dimensions measurements on a downstream Cerenkov diagnostic. We use the TRAJENV code coupled to a χ^2 minimization process from the CERN MINUIT library. Further developments are foresighted using these technique to reduce the number of machine shots dedicated to beam alignment.

REFERENCES

- O. Mouton, "Stationary beam electron transport in AIRIX for the TRAJENV code", Proceedings of EPAC 2006, Edinburgh, UK, http://www.jacow.org.
- [2] A. Piquemal and O. Mouton, private communication
- [3] O. Mouton, private communication
- [4] O. Mouton and D. Guilhem, "Thin foil physical effects on the transport of an intense relativistic electron beam", EPAC 2002, Paris, France.
- [5] M. Caron, F. Cartier, D. Collignon, L. Hourdin, M. Mouillet, C. Noel, D. Paradis, O. Pierret, E. Merle, O. Mouton and N. Pichoff, "High Intensity High Energy E-Beam Interacting with a Thin Solid State target: First Results at AIRIX", PAC 2005, Knoxville, USA, http://www.jacow.org.
- [6] F. James and M. Roos, "MINUIT. A system for Function Minimization and Analysis of the Parameter Errors and Correlations", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland (1977).
- [7] F. James, "MINUIT. Function Minimization and Error Analysis - Reference Manual", CERN, Geneva, Switzerland (1998).
- [8] J. Bardy and A. Roques, private communication